

Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2011  
Housing Type for Older Adults in California by County and Income

	All persons age 65 and over*			Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200% of federal poverty level*		
	% Owner without Mortgage	% Owner with Mortgage	% Renting	Owner without Mortgage	% Owner with Mortgage	% Renting
<b>California</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>39%</b>
Alameda	35%	39%	25%	29%	19%	49%
Alpine (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Amador (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Butte	50%	33%	13%	50%	23%	23%
Calaveras (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Colusa (2)	55%	30%	12%	59%	16%	20%
Contra Costa	40%	43%	16%	37%	23%	37%
Del Norte (1)	54%	30%	13%	55%	19%	22%
El Dorado	47%	42%	9%	53%	29%	16%
Fresno	40%	36%	21%	35%	26%	36%
Glenn (2)	55%	30%	12%	59%	16%	20%
Humboldt	52%	31%	15%	49%	18%	29%
Imperial	33%	32%	33%	28%	22%	47%
Inyo (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Kern	41%	38%	18%	40%	25%	30%
Kings	46%	31%	18%	40%	27%	26%
Lake (7)	52%	33%	13%	61%	14%	20%
Lassen (1)	54%	30%	13%	55%	19%	22%
Los Angeles						
LACity	28%	34%	36%	19%	18%	59%
Rest of LA	33%	39%	25%	28%	23%	46%
Madera	47%	36%	13%	45%	20%	29%
Marin	41%	40%	18%	34%	23%	42%
Mariposa (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Mendocino (7)	52%	33%	13%	61%	14%	20%
Merced	42%	32%	22%	35%	25%	36%
Modoc (1)	54%	30%	13%	55%	19%	22%
Mono (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Monterey (5)	40%	37%	20%	31%	29%	35%
Napa	47%	35%	17%	37%	16%	43%
Nevada (3)	46%	43%	9%	47%	27%	23%
Orange	38%	41%	20%	33%	24%	41%
Placer	43%	42%	13%	48%	23%	26%
Plumas (3)	46%	43%	9%	47%	27%	23%
Riverside	41%	42%	16%	41%	28%	30%
Sacramento	39%	39%	21%	33%	24%	41%
San Benito (5)	40%	37%	20%	31%	29%	35%
San Bernardino	37%	44%	18%	37%	32%	29%
San Diego	37%	41%	21%	32%	24%	42%
San Francisco	32%	28%	39%	20%	12%	65%
San Joaquin	39%	37%	22%	37%	24%	36%
San Luis Obispo	47%	36%	14%	48%	22%	24%
San Mateo	39%	40%	20%	36%	21%	41%

**Elder Economic Security Standard™ Index, 2011**  
**Housing Type for Older Adults in California by County and Income**

	<b>All persons age 65 and over*</b>			<b>Persons age 65 and over with incomes under 200% of federal poverty level*</b>		
	<b>% Owner without Mortgage</b>	<b>% Owner with Mortgage</b>	<b>% Renting</b>	<b>Owner without Mortgage</b>	<b>% Owner with Mortgage</b>	<b>% Renting</b>
Santa Barbara	43%	37%	19%	35%	25%	39%
Santa Clara	39%	38%	22%	30%	19%	49%
Santa Cruz	45%	35%	18%	44%	19%	36%
Shasta	51%	34%	13%	48%	27%	22%
Sierra (3)	46%	43%	9%	47%	27%	23%
Siskiyou (1)	54%	30%	13%	55%	19%	22%
Solano	37%	45%	17%	31%	29%	37%
Sonoma	44%	36%	19%	45%	22%	32%
Stanislaus	44%	33%	21%	42%	22%	34%
Sutter (4)	42%	40%	15%	42%	30%	23%
Tehama (2)	55%	30%	12%	59%	16%	20%
Trinity (2)	55%	30%	12%	59%	16%	20%
Tulare	42%	37%	19%	38%	25%	32%
Tuolumne (6)	53%	37%	9%	58%	18%	21%
Ventura	37%	43%	18%	37%	25%	35%
Yolo	43%	30%	26%	33%	19%	47%
Yuba (4)	42%	40%	15%	42%	30%	23%

Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2007-2009 American Community Survey (ACS)

\* County totals do not equal 100% because some elders report "other" housing arrangements.

Note: The following counties were combined in the U.S. Census ACS data

- (1) Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou
- (2) Colusa, Glenn, Tehama, Trinity
- (3) Nevada, Plumas, Sierra
- (4) Sutter, Yuba
- (5) Monterey, San Benito
- (6) Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Inyo, Mariposa, Mono, Tuolumne
- (7) Mendocino, Lake

For the complete report, methodology or individual counties visit:

<http://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/elder-index2011>

For more information about the California Elder Economic Security Initiative™ program visit:

<http://www.insightccd.org/communities/cfess/cal-eesi.html>



Wider Opportunities for Women