## UNINSURED CALIFORNIANS IN ASSEMBLY AND SENATE DISTRICTS, 2000

## E. RICHARD BROWN, PhD YING-YING MENG, DrPH CAROLYN A. MENDEZ, MPH HONGJIAN YU, PhD

MAY 2001


UCLA CENTER FOR HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH

# UNINSURED CALIFORNIANS IN ASSEMBLY AND SENATE DISTRICTS, 2000 

E. RICHARD BROWN, PhD<br>YING-YING MENG, DrPH<br>CAROLYN A. MENDEZ, MPH<br>HONGJIAN YU, PhD

UCLA CENTER FOR HEALTH POLICY RESEARCH
The California Endowment

# A GRANT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT FUNDED THE DEVELOPMENT AND PUBLICATION OF THIS REPORT. <br> Copyright © 2001 by the Regents of the University of California 

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, the Regents of the University of California, or The California Endowment.

The UCLA Center for Health Policy Research is sponsored by the UCLA School of Public Health and the School of Public Policy and Social Research.

VISIT THE CENTER’S WEB SITE AT:
www.healthpolicy.ucla.edu

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements ..... V
Introduction ..... 1
Assembly Summary Table ..... 5
Senate Summary Table ..... 8
California Assembly ..... 11
Summary and Highlights ..... 11
Statewide Maps ..... 13
Assembly District Tables ..... 23
California Senate ..... 103
Summary and Highlights ..... 103
Statewide Maps ..... 105
Senate District Tables ..... 115
Appendix, Data and Methods ..... 155

The authors are grateful to The California Endowment for its support of this project. The California Endowment was established to expand access to affordable, quality health care for underserved individuals and communities. The Endowment, the state's largest health foundation, provides grants to organizations and institutions that directly benefit the health and well-being of the people of California.

This report would not have been possible without the excellent work of a number of staff at the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. Jenny Kotlerman, M S, contributed by preparing the programming of the databases needed for
estimation. M ary Richardson, PhD, assisted with the development of the data tables, with the help of Julia Prentice. Paula Y. Bagasao, PhD, with the help of Cynthia Oh, provided extensive and valuable support during the editorial and production process. Consultant Kristopher Carbone assisted in the production of the maps and Dan Gordon edited the final report. Richard Ikkanda provided advice on design and layout of the report. The authors benefited from the generous support provided by many people, but the authors are responsible for all opinions expressed and any errors made in this report.

## INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

Health care coverage varies widely among California's 80 Assembly and 40 Senate districts. ${ }^{1}$ The uninsured rates among nonelderly persons, ages $0-64$, range from $10 \%$ to $44 \%$ in Assembly districts (see Table 1), and $12 \%$ to $43 \%$ in Senate districts (see Table 2). Three-fourths of California legislative districts - 60 of 80 Assembly districts and 29 of 40 Senate districts - have higher uninsured rates than the national average of $17 \%$.

M ore than 6.8 million Californians were uninsured in 1999. With $22 \%$ of the state's nonelderly residents uninsured, California's uninsured rate is one-third higher than the national average and the fourth highest rate among all states.

This report provides estimates of uninsured rates for children, nonelderly adults, and elderly persons, as well as for nonelderly persons overall, in all California Assembly and Senate districts - information that has never before been available. The estimates of uninsurance in Assembly and Senate districts were developed using data from a variety of sources and a method called "small area estimation" (described in the Appendix). As with all estimates, the estimates in this report vary in the precision with which they represent the "true" estimate. The California Health Interview Survey (CHIS), now underway at the UCLA Center for H ealth Policy Research, will greatly enhance the quality of the estimates that can be made for local areas.

The report provides a table for each Assembly and Senate district that includes uninsured rates for each age group. The tables also include key demographic characteristics of
the population in each legislative district, including the estimated number of residents in the district and population distributions by age group, race/ethnicity, as well as family income relative to the federal poverty level. ${ }^{2}$

In addition, the report includes statewide maps of uninsured rates in each legislative district, with separate maps for children, nonelderly adults, and the nonelderly population overall. Maps are also provided that show estimates for key demographic factors, including the proportions of district residents who are non-Latino white, Latino, Asian American/Pacific Islander, and African American, and both the proportions who have family incomes below the federal poverty level and the proportions with incomes $100 \%-200 \%$ of the poverty level.

## LARGE DISPARITIES EXIST IN HEALTH CARE COVERAGE FOR CHILDREN

Nearly 1.85 million of the state's uninsured population are children. Thus, one in five (19\%) California children (ages 0 -18) was uninsured in 1999, a rate one third higher than the national average (14\%).

Among the state's 80 Assembly districts, children's uninsured rates range from 6\% to 36\%. Fifty-five Assembly districts have uninsured rates for children higher than the national average. Only nine Assembly districts have uninsured rates below $10 \%$.

Among California's 40 Senate districts, uninsured rates for children range from $6 \%$ to $35 \%$. M ore than half (26) of all

[^0]Senate districts have higher uninsured rates than the national average, and only four Senate districts have uninsured rates below $10 \%$.

Legislative districts with higher than national uninsured rates for children are located mainly in the counties of Los Angeles, Ventura, San Bernardino, Inyo, Kern, Riverside, Orange, Imperial and San Diego, in the San Francisco Bay area including the South Bay, in the San Joaquin Valley, and in the city of Sacramento.

Another study by the UCLA Center for H ealth Policy Research, The State of H ealth Insurance in California: Recent Trends, Future Prospects, found that nine in 10 uninsured children are in working families. ${ }^{3}$ Seven in 10 are in moderate to low-income families with incomes below $250 \%$ of the federal poverty level (e.g., less than $\$ 28,978$ for a family of two in 2000, $\$ 34,343$ for a family of three, $\$ 44,003$ for a family of four, etc.). Children of color are more likely to be uninsured, as are children in immigrant families.

## UNINSURANCE RATES ARE HIGH AMONG NONELDERLY ADULTS

Five million California nonelderly adults, ages 19-64, had no health care coverage in 1999 - an uninsured rate of 24\%, one-third above the national average of $19 \%$.

Among the state's 80 Assembly districts, the uninsured rates for nonelderly adults range from $11 \%$ to $49 \%$. Fifty-seven Assembly districts have higher uninsured rates than the national average, and only four have uninsured rates lower than $15 \%$.

Among California's 40 Senate districts, the uninsured rates for nonelderly adults range from $14 \%$ to $47 \%$. Twenty-nine Senate districts have higher uninsured rates for nonelderly adults than the national average, while only one Senate district has an uninsured rate lower than $15 \%$.

Legislative districts with higher than national uninsured rates for nonelderly adults are located mainly in Los Angeles, Kern, Inyo, San Bernardino, Riverside, Orange, Imperial, and San Diego counties, in the San Francisco Bay area including the South Bay, as well as in the Central Coast and Central Valley, and in the city of Sacramento.

Uninsured adults are disproportionately young (19-29 years of age), but more than a third of uninsured adults are ages 40-64, a time in the life cycle when health problems are becoming more prevalent. Uninsured nonelderly adults are disproportionately people of color. Uninsurance is higher among immigrant citizens and noncitizens. Like uninsured children, uninsured nonelderly adults are overwhelmingly workers and their spouses in moderate to low-income families. ${ }^{4}$

The authors of The State of H ealth Insurance in California: Recent Trends, Future Prospects found that one of the major determinants of the lack of coverage among nonelderly adults is that their employers do not offer health benefits. This factor appears to account for much of California's excessive uninsured rate compared to the national average. Even when they are eligible for job-based insurance, the required premium contribution is unaffordable for many low-wage workers. In addition, nonelderly adults are less

[^1]Brown ER, Ponce N, and RiceT, The State of Health Insurance in Califomia: 'Recent Trends, Future Prospects, Los Angeles: UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, M arch 2001
likely than children and the elderly to be eligible for public programs such as M edi-Cal, the H ealthy Families Program, and M edicare.

## SOME ELDERLY ADULTS ARE UNINSURED

Although nearly all elderly persons (age 65 and over) are covered by at least M edicare, this study found that some of California's elderly residents are uninsured. Statewide, approximately $2 \%$ of the elderly were uninsured in 1999.

Among California's 80 Assembly districts, 48 have uninsured rates for elderly adults ranging from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$, including seven districts that exceed $5 \%$. Twenty-six of the state's 40 Senate districts have uninsured rates ranging from $2 \%$ to $7 \%$ for elderly adults, including three Senate districts with elderly uninsured rates exceeding 5\%. These districts with elderly uninsured rates exceeding 5\% are located primarily in Los Angeles County.

It is not clear who these uninsured elderly are based on the data from this study. However, the uninsured elderly are likely to be either recent immigrants who are not eligible for public programs, or they may be eligible for, but not enrolled in, public programs such as M edicare and M edi-Cal.

## KEY DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AFFECT HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE

Previous studies have found strong associations of uninsurance with age, low income, and ethnic minority status. The data and maps in this report reflect this
relationship. Districts with higher uninsured rates also have higher percentages of populations of color and of family incomes below the federal poverty level. For example, the 10 Assembly districts with the highest rates of uninsurance among nonelderly adults also have the highest percentages of ethnic minority groups. Latinos in these Assembly districts constitute the majority population, ranging from 53\% in Assembly District 49 to 95\% in Assembly District 50. Assembly Districts 46 and 48 also have the highest percentages of population with family incomes below 100\% of the federal poverty level.

## CALIFORNIA CAN IMPROVE HEALTH CARE COVERAGE FOR ITS RESIDENTS

Lack of health insurance is a serious problem for all of California, not only for districts with high uninsured rates. In districts with high concentrations of uninsurance, large numbers of uninsured residents fail to receive important preventive care, and they are less likely to receive effective management of their chronic illnesses, such as diabetes, asthma, and high blood pressure. However, even in legislative districts with relatively fewer uninsured residents, these problems will compromise uninsured children's and adults' health and limit their opportunities and potential earnings.

M oreover, there is a very high fiscal cost due to this problem. County, state and federal taxpayers bear the public costs of providing even minimal health care to uninsured residents - totaling at least $\$ 4$ billion in California in fiscal year 2000-01.5 Whether an Assembly district has 38,000 or 161,000 uninsured residents, and whether a Senate district
has 91,000 or 300,000 uninsured residents, it cannot ignore these important public health needs and fiscal costs.

California has the policy tools available to address this problem now. Federal policy offers the state generous matching funds to expand coverage through Medi-Cal and Healthy Families. The federal government will pay 50-65 cents of every dollar California spends on enrollees in these programs.

M ore than two-thirds of California's 1.85 million uninsured children are eligible for, but not enrolled in, Medi-Cal or Healthy Families, including 535,000 who are eligible for Healthy Families, and another 726,000 for M edi-Cal. An estimated 685,000 nonelderly adults are uninsured but eligible for M edi-Cal coverage, about 14\% of California's nearly 5 million uninsured adults. The state can expand Medi-Cal and Healthy Families to include more of its uninsured residents by improving policies and procedures that would more effectively enroll and retain eligible children and adults.

California can also expand these programs to maximize coverage of uninsured children and adults. The state's proposed expansion of Healthy Families to parents of
children eligible for the program could cover as many as 518,000 uninsured adults if eligibility is set at $250 \%$ of poverty, the same as for their children. 6 In addition, California could use policy options that would enable the state to enroll other adults in these programs, even combining this approach with efforts to encourage employers to help pay for coverage for their employees and their employees' families and make employment-based health insurance affordable to low-wage workers. Such expansions would address the needs of residents in all legislative districts and offset many of the state and local dollars that now are spent on health care for low-income uninsured residents.

Even with significant efforts to expand and improve current public and private insurance, until the state or the nation adopts a system of universal coverage, a large number of Californians will remain uninsured. Health care "safety net" providers - community clinics and county health services - will continue to need federal, state and local financial support to meet the needs of those who remain uninsured.

In summary, California has options to incrementally expand coverage to its 6.8 million uninsured residents - steps that would benefit residents of all legislative districts as we find our path to a universal system that covers all Californians.

TABLE 1. ASSEMBLY DISTRICT UNINSURED RATES BY AGE GROUP¹

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

|  | Ages 0-64 | Ages 0-18 | Ages 19-64 | Age 65+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| CALIFORNIA | 22\% | 19\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 1 | 12\% | 6\% | 15\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 2 | 15\% | 10\% | 17\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3 | 15\% | 11\% | 17\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 4 | 13\% | 9\% | 15\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5 | 15\% | 11\% | 16\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 6 | 17\% | 11\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 7 | 13\% | 6\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 8 | 16\% | 9\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 9 | 20\% | 16\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 10 | 16\% | 12\% | 17\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 11 | 13\% | 9\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 12 | 29\% | 24\% | 30\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 13 | 31\% | 29\% | 31\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 14 | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 15 | 11\% | 7\% | 12\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 16 | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 17 | 19\% | 15\% | 21\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 18 | 14\% | 10\% | 16\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 19 | 25\% | 21\% | 26\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 20 | 12\% | 8\% | 14\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 21 | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 22 | 13\% | 10\% | 13\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 23 | 18\% | 14\% | 20\% | 0\% |

## Source:

1 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

|  | Ages 0-64 | Ages 0-18 | Ages 19-64 | Age 65+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| CALIFORNIA | 22\% | 19\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 24 | 10\% | 8\% | 11\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 25 | 16\% | 13\% | 18\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 26 | 20\% | 15\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 27 | 15\% | 7\% | 18\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 28 | 18\% | 10\% | 22\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 29 | 18\% | 14\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 30 | 20\% | 15\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 31 | 24\% | 19\% | 29\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 32 | 18\% | 14\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 33 | 16\% | 14\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 34 | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 35 | 16\% | 14\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 36 | 22\% | 17\% | 24\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 37 | 18\% | 15\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 38 | 19\% | 15\% | 21\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 39 | 37\% | 30\% | 42\% | 6\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 40 | 30\% | 26\% | 32\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 41 | 20\% | 16\% | 22\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 42 | 25\% | 21\% | 25\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 43 | 29\% | 24\% | 30\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 44 | 26\% | 21\% | 27\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 45 | 41\% | 34\% | 45\% | 7\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 46 | 44\% | 36\% | 49\% | 8\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 47 | 28\% | 24\% | 30\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 48 | 40\% | 32\% | 45\% | 5\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 49 | 38\% | 31\% | 41\% | 6\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 50 | 43\% | 35\% | 49\% | 8\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 51 | 31\% | 26\% | 34\% | 5\% |

Source:
1 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

TABLE 1. ASSEMBLY DISTRICT UNINSURED RATES BY AGE GROUP¹ (CONTINUED)

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

|  | Ages 0-64 | Ages 0-18 | Ages 19-64 | Age 65+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| CALIFORNIA | 22\% | 19\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 52 | 37\% | 30\% | 41\% | 5\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 53 | 22\% | 19\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 54 | 26\% | 23\% | 27\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55 | 34\% | 28\% | 37\% | 5\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 56 | 27\% | 22\% | 29\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 57 | 37\% | 30\% | 41\% | 6\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 58 | 35\% | 28\% | 39\% | 6\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 59 | 25\% | 20\% | 27\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 60 | 27\% | 22\% | 30\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 61 | 27\% | 24\% | 28\% | 4\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 62 | 27\% | 25\% | 28\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 63 | 20\% | 19\% | 20\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 64 | 23\% | 21\% | 24\% | 3\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 65 | 21\% | 20\% | 22\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 66 | 20\% | 19\% | 21\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 67 | 17\% | 15\% | 18\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 68 | 23\% | 20\% | 25\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 69 | 37\% | 32\% | 41\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 70 | 20\% | 18\% | 20\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 71 | 18\% | 16\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 72 | 21\% | 19\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 73 | 21\% | 18\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 74 | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 75 | 18\% | 15\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 76 | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 77 | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 78 | 22\% | 20\% | 23\% | 1\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 79 | 30\% | 27\% | 33\% | 2\% |
| ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 80 | 20\% | 18\% | 21\% | 2\% |

Source:
1 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

## SENATE SUMMARY TABLE

TABLE 2. SENATE DISTRICT UNINSURED RATES BY AGE GROUP¹

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

|  | Ages 0-64 | Ages 0-18 | Ages 19-64 | Age 65+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| CALIFORNIA | 22\% | 19\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 1 | 14\% | 10\% | 16\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 2 | 13\% | 6\% | 16\% | 0\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 3 | 24\% | 18\% | 25\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 4 | 15\% | 10\% | 18\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 5 | 17\% | 13\% | 19\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 6 | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 7 | 12\% | 8\% | 14\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 8 | 27\% | 23\% | 28\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 9 | 18\% | 13\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 10 | 13\% | 9\% | 15\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 11 | 15\% | 13\% | 16\% | 0\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 12 | 18\% | 14\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 13 | 15\% | 13\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 14 | 18\% | 14\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 15 | 16\% | 9\% | 20\% | 0\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 16 | 22\% | 17\% | 25\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 17 | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 3\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 18 | 16\% | 14\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 19 | 18\% | 15\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 20 | 34\% | 28\% | 37\% | 5\% |

Source:
1 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

TABLE 2. SENATE DISTRICT UNINSURED RATES BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{1}$ (CONTINUED)

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

|  | Ages 0-64 | Ages 0-18 | Ages 19-64 | Age 65+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNITED STATES | 17\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| CALIFORNIA | 22\% | 19\% | 24\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 21 | 27\% | 23\% | 29\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 22 | 43\% | 35\% | 47\% | 7\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 23 | 23\% | 18\% | 24\% | 3\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 24 | 38\% | 31\% | 41\% | 6\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 25 | 34\% | 28\% | 37\% | 5\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 26 | 34\% | 29\% | 37\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 27 | 26\% | 22\% | 28\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 28 | 28\% | 25\% | 30\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 29 | 26\% | 21\% | 29\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 30 | 39\% | 32\% | 43\% | 6\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 31 | 21\% | 20\% | 21\% | 3\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 32 | 27\% | 25\% | 28\% | 4\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 33 | 20\% | 17\% | 21\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 34 | 30\% | 26\% | 33\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 35 | 19\% | 17\% | 19\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 36 | 22\% | 20\% | 22\% | 3\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 37 | 19\% | 16\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 38 | 21\% | 18\% | 22\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 39 | 22\% | 19\% | 23\% | 1\% |
| SENATE DISTRICT 40 | 26\% | 23\% | 27\% | 2\% |

Source:
1 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.
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#### Abstract

SUMMARY

This section provides a set of maps and tables containing information on uninsured rates by age group as well as selected population characteristics for each of the 80 Assembly districts in the state of California.

Nine California state maps provide a visual comparison of uninsured rates, poverty, and race/ethnicity demographics across the state's 80 Assembly districts. The first three maps show the uninsured rates in each Assembly district for children, nonelderly adults, and the nonelderly population overall. The next two maps show the proportions of district residents who have family incomes below $100 \%$ of the federal poverty level (those considered to be living in poverty) and $100 \%-200 \%$ of poverty (often referred to as "near poor"). The last four maps show the proportions of district residents who are non-Latino white, Latino, Asian American/Pacific Islander, and African American.

Following the maps are tables, one for every Assembly district, that expand on the characteristics visually presented by the maps. Each table provides a description and map of where the Assembly district is located within the state of California and how its uninsured rate compares to the state's other Assembly districts. Uninsured rates are arrayed from highest to lowest based on our estimated percentages to hundredths of a percent (rounded to whole percents in the tables). The tables also provide key demographic characteristics for the population, including the estimated number of residents in the district and population distributions by age group, race/ethnicity, and by family income relative to the federal poverty level, as well as statewide data on these same characteristics.


## HIGHLIGHTS

- District uninsured rates vary by age group (see Table 1, Introduction). For instance:
- Among children, ages 0-18, the range is from $6 \%$ to $36 \%$;
- Among nonelderly adults, ages 19-64, the range is from $11 \%$ to 49\%;
- Among nonelderly persons, ages $0-64$, the range is from $10 \%$ to 44\%;
- Among elderly persons, age 65 and over, the range is from 0\% to 8\%.
- The majority of district uninsured rates in California are higher than the national average for all age groups:
- $69 \%$ of districts ( 55 of 80 ) have higher uninsurance rates for children, ages 0-18, than the national average of $14 \%$;
- $71 \%$ of Assembly districts (57 of 80) have higher uninsurance rates for nonelderly adults, ages 19-64, than the national average of $19 \%$;
- 75\% of Assembly districts ( 60 of 80 ) have higher uninsurance rates for all nonelderly persons, ages $0-64$, than the national average of $17 \%$.
- Assembly districts with higher than national uninsured rates for children and adults are located mainly in the city of Sacramento and in the counties of Los Angeles, Inyo, Kern, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, Imperial, and San Diego. Higher rates are also found in the San Francisco Bay area including the South Bay, and in the San Joaquin Valley.
- Assembly districts with the highest uninsured rates also have the highest percentages of residents of color (i.e., Latino, Asian American/Pacific Islander, or African American). For example, the percentages of residents who are non-Latino white in theAssembly districts with the 10
highest uninsured rates range from $0 \%$ to $17 \%$ (AD 46, AD 50, AD 45, AD 48, AD 49, AD 39, AD 69, AD 57,
AD 52, AD 58). These same districts have the largest percentages of residents who are Latino, ranging from $53 \%$ in AD 49 to $95 \%$ in AD 50.
- Half of the Assembly districts with the 10 highest uninsured rates also have the highest percentages of residents living in or near poverty (AD 48, AD 46, AD 52, AD 45, and AD 50).

Ages 0-64, California, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

10-14\%
15-19\%
20-24\%
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30-34\%
35-39\%
40-44\%


Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000
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Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED



Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

All Ages, California, 2000

## PERCENTAGE

 OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE BELOW 100\% POVERTY4-9\%
10-14\%
15-19\%
20-24\%
25-29\%
30-34\%
35-37\%


Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE AT 100\%-200\% POVERTY

| 7-9\% |
| :---: |
| 10-14\% |
| 15-19\% |
| 20-24\% |
| 25-29\% |
| 30-34\% |
| 35-36\% |



Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE NON-LATINO WHITE
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LOS ANGELES AREA

Source: Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE LATINO


PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE ASIAN AMERICAN/ PACIFIC ISLANDER

| 1-4\% |
| :---: |
| 5-9\% |
| 10-14\% |
| 15-19\% |
| 20-24\% |
| 25-29\% |
| 30-34\% |
| 35-46\% |



Source: Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

All Ages, California, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE AFRICAN AMERICAN
S


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 1

Situated in the Redwood Empire along California's north coast, Assembly District 1 includes all of Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendocino and Lake counties, as well as the rural portion of Sonoma County north of Santa Rosa. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fourth lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 42,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 1 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 6\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 15\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 12\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 408,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 82\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 12\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 2\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 3\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% OF POVERTY | 29\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% OF POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + OF POVERTY | 37\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
 and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 2

This primarily rural Assembly district flanks Interstate 5 from Yolo County to the Oregon border, and includes the counties of Shasta, Siskiyou, Trinity, Tehama, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter, the northeastern corner of Yolo and the southern tip of Butte County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 70th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly
 districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 53,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 2 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distuict Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Leve Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 414,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 56\% | 60\% |
| $65+$ YEARS | 15\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 79\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 14\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 2\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 33\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 32\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3

Occupying the northeastern corner of the state, Assembly District 3 includes the mountain counties of Modoc, Lassen, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Yuba and most of ButteCounty. ${ }^{1}$

The district has the 68th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. This district has approximately 51,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 3 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 11\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 408,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 16\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 82\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 10\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 2\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 26\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 38\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65,
$\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, $\$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 4

The M other Lode counties of Placer, El Dorado, Amador, Calaveras, Alpine and M ono constitute Assembly District 4. ${ }^{1}$

District 4 has the 74th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. This district has approximately 54,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total
 population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 4 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 9\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 15\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 478,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 84\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 11\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 3\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 56\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5

Assembly District 5 is located in the northern part of Sacramento County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 71st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 57,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 5 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 11\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 16\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 427,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 77\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 11\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 12\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 14\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 59\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 1,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 6

M arin County and the semi-suburban areas of Petaluma and Rohnert Park in Sonoma County are in this district. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 62nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 61,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 6 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 11\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 17\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 407,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 79\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 12\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 65\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 7

Assembly District 7 includes Napa County, Santa Rosa and the town of Sonoma in Sonoma County, in addition to Vallejo. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 73rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 45,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 7 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 6\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 407,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 15\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 66\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 15\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 11\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 8\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 52\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 8

Solano County (minus Vallejo), most of Yolo County and the delta region of Sacramento County are in Assembly District $8 .{ }^{1}$

This district has the 67th highest uninsured rate among persons ages 0-64, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 64,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 8 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 9\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 438,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 60\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 21\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 11\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 48\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 9

Assembly District 9 is an urban district containing most of the city of Sacramento. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 43rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 69,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 9 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 16\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 393,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 38\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 20\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 17\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 27\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 42\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, $\$ 13,470$ for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 10

The southern portion of Sacramento County, as well as the northern part of San Joaquin County, including Lodi, are included in this district. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 66th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 64,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 10 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 12\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 456,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 68\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 16\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 55\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 11

Assembly District 11 lies on or near San Pablo Bay, the Carquinez Strait or the Suisun Bay from Pinole to Antioch, including the city of Concord. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 75th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 50,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 11 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 9\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 15\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 425,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 62\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 10\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 70\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 12

One of two San Francisco districts, Assembly District 12 occupies the western portion of the city as well as Daly City. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 16th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 97,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 12 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 24\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 30\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 29\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 399,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 20\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| $65+Y E A R S$ | 16\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 31\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 16\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 46\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 64\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 13

The eastern half of San Francisco, including Chinatown, lies in Assembly District $13 .{ }^{1}$

This district has the 13th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 106,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 13 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 29\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 31\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 31\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 398,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 16\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 70\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 40\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 19\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 28\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 13\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 11\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 53\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 14

On the eastern shore of the San Francisco Bay, Assembly District 14 includes the cities of Richmond, San Pablo and El Cerrito in Contra Costa County and Berkeley, Albany and northern Oakland in Alameda County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 54th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 62,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 14 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Raties by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 399,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 23\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 37\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 15\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 17\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 31\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 14\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 58\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 15

The East Bay communities of Lafayette, Walnut Creek, San Ramon, Dublin and Livermore in Contra Costa and Alameda counties are in Assembly District 15.1

This district has the second lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 45,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 15 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 7\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 12\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 11\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 467,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 79\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 10\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 4\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 7\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 76\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 16

M ost of Oakland and all of the city of Alameda are in Assembly District $16 .{ }^{1}$

This district has the 59th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 62,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 16 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 387,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 24\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 21\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 21\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 34\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 57\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 17

The center of the San Joaquin Valley, including Stockton, is included in Assembly District 17. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 51st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 76,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 17 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 19\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 450,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 46\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 30\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 17\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 19\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 25\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 38\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 18

Assembly District 18 includes the cities of San Leandro, Hayward, Union City and part of Pleasanton, all in Alameda County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 72nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 51,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 18 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 16\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 14\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 420,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 46\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 20\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 9\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 12\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 67\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 19

Assembly District 19 contains the part of San M ateo County south of San Francisco, including South San Francisco, San Bruno, Millbrae, Burlingame, Hillsborough, the city of San M ateo and parts of Foster City and Daly City. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 26th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 88,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 19 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 21\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 26\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 25\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 406,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 63\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 47\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 21\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 28\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 5\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 12\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 68\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 20

Assembly District 20 consists of Fremont in Alameda County, and Milpitas and part of San Jose in Santa Clara County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the third lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 49,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 20 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 8\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 14\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 12\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 443,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 47\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 30\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 4\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 9\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 72\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 21

Located in the South Bay, Assembly District 21 includes the southern part of San M ateo County, including the cities of Belmont, Redwood City and Menlo Park; and part of Santa Clara County, including the cities of Palo Alto and Los Altos. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 41st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 70,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 21 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 401,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 23\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 64\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 19\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 14\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 67\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 22

Assembly District 22 contains Sunnyvale, western San Jose, M ountain View and Santa Clara. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fifth lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 46,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 22 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 13\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 403,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 22\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 66\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 57\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 19\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 21\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 13\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 68\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 23

Assembly District 23 includes most of San Jose. ${ }^{1}$
This district has the 53rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 67,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 23 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 412,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICIT ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 20\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 52\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 23\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 52\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
 and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 24

In the heart of the Silicon Valley, Assembly District 24 includes Cupertino, Saratoga, Los Gatos, Campbell and the southern part of San Jose. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 38,000 uninsured residents (an estimate
 that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 24 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 8\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 11\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 10\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 423,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 25\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 15\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 4\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 10\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 74\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 25

This San Joaquin Valley district includes parts of the cities of M odesto and M adera in the north and a small part of Fresno to the south. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 63rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages 0-64, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 64,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 25 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 18\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 457,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 24\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 43\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
 $\$ 1,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 26

Assembly District 26 includes all of M erced County and the southern part of M odesto in Stanislaus County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 45th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 78,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 26 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 435,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 56\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 50\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 38\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 22\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 29\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 33\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 27

Assembly District 27 covers Santa Cruz County and the coastal half of M onterey County, including the cities of Santa Cruz, with its University of California campus; M onterey; and Watsonville. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 69th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 49,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 27 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 7\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 18\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 373,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 25\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 63\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 71\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 17\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 28

This newly shaped district consists of the inland parts of M onterey County, along with San Benito County, the Watsonville area of Santa Cruz County and part of southern Santa Clara County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 60th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 75,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 28 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 457,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 33\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 34\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 52\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 27\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 43\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 29

This San Joaquin Valley district includes much of Fresno and most of Visalia, which is about 30 miles to the south. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 56th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 70,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 29 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 446,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 62\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 43\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 30

A sparsely populated area in the southwestern part of the San Joaquin Valley, this rather elongated district is centered on Interstate 5, and has a hook at the southern end that divides Bakersfield and all of Kings County, as well as parts of Fresno, Kern and M adera counties. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 49th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly
 districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 84,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 30 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Raties by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 459,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 31\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 58\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 26\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 36\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 23\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 31

Assembly District 31, located in the southern San Joaquin Valley, contains parts of the cities of Fresno, Visalia and Tulare. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 28th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 89,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 31 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 29\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 24\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 410,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 38\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 52\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 20\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 63\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 11\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 36\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 32\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 20\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 32

Much of the city of Tulare, plus Tulare County cities Exeter and Porterville, join with most of Bakersfield in Kern County to form this southern San Joaquin Valley district. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 58th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 68,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 32 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 430,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 64\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 23\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 41\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 33

Assembly District 33 is composed of San Luis Obispo County and the portions of Santa Barbara County north of the Gaviota Pass. For the most part, this is ranch country. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 64th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 57,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 33 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 417,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 67\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 25\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 24\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 49\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and <br> California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research <br> based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and <br> Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, <br> $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 34

Two-thirds of this district's population live in San Bernardino County, with another 27\% in the desert part of Kern County. The district includes the communities of Tehachapi, Barstow, Victorville, Needles, M ojave, and all of Inyo County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 44th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly
 districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 82,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 34 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 458,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 66\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 3\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 16\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 25\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 41\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 35

Assembly District 35 contains most of Santa Barbara and Ventura counties, including the city of Santa Barbara and the student enclave around the University of California campus near Goleta, as well as the cities of Ojai, Santa Paula and Ventura in Ventura County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 65th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 54,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 35 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 391,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 65\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 29\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 52\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 36

This fast-growing district in the northernmost part of Los Angeles County's Antelope Valley is centered around the cities of Palmdale and Lancaster and extends west as far as the city of Santa Clarita. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 33rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 93,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 36 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 17\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 24\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 459,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 65\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 55\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. } & 3 \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } & \end{array}$ based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 37

Assembly District 37 is located in the southern and western parts of Ventura County, including Thousand Oaks, Camarillo and Oxnard. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 57th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 66,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 37 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 410,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 52\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 37\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 53\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 38

Located in the northwestern corner of the San Fernando Valley, this district straddles the Los Angeles-Ventura County line. In addition to the Chatsworth, Northridge and Castaic parts of Los Angeles County, this district includes Simi Valley from Ventura County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 50th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly
 districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 71,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 38 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 19\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 420,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 65\% | 60\% |
| $65+$ YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 64\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 22\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 59\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 39

Assembly District 39 includes the eastern part of the San Fernando Valley around Pacoima. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the sixth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 144,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 39 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 30\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 42\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 37\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 413,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 36\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 6\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICIT ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 10\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 75\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 19\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 30\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 32\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 40

Assembly District 40 is in the heart of the San Fernando Valley, including communities such as Van Nuys, North Hollywood and Studio City. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 15th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 108,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 40 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 26\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 32\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 30\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 405,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 25\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 44\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 41\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 16\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 23\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 44\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 41

Assembly District 41 straddles the Santa M onica M ountains to include the communities of Woodland Hills in the San Fernando Valley, Malibu and Pacific Palisades on the coast, and Santa M onica proper. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 42nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 66,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 41 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 16\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 396,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 18\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 65\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 17\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 77\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 9\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 14\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 60\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 42

The Westside of Los Angeles is a well-known part of the city. It includes Hollywood, Beverly Hills and Westwood. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 27th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 82,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 42 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 21\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 25\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 25\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 401,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 12\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 70\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 18\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 73\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 17\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 50\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 43

Assembly District 43 is centered around Glendale, but also includes Burbank and the Los Feliz and Griffith Park areas just over the hills to the south. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 17th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 99,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 43 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 24\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 30\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 29\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 398,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 22\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 51\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 30\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 16\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 44\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 44

This district north and east of Los Angeles includes the cities of Pasadena and La Canada, as well as the SunlandTujunga portions of Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 23rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 90,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 44 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 21\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 27\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 26\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 403,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| $65+$ YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 49\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 25\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 14\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 12\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 45

Assembly District 45 is located in East Los Angeles ${ }^{1}$ and northeast Los Angeles, and includes Atwater Village, Glassell Park, Highland Park, Lincoln Heights and parts of Eagle Rock, Chinatown, and Temple-Beaudry. To the west it includes Echo Park and parts of Hollywood.

This district has the third highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 147,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 45 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 34\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 45\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 41\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 7\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 389,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 7\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 68\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 22\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 28\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 31\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 26\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 46

One of the most ethnically diverse areas of California, this district covers Downtown Los Angeles and the communities of Koreatown, Boyle H eights, Little Tokyo, Temple-Beaudry, Westlake, Wilshire Center, South Central and portions of Chinatown and East Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are
 arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 149,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASSEMBLY } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 46 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 36\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 49\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 44\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 8\% | 2\% |
| Distuict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 360,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 6\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 3\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 75\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 15\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 37\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 35\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 10\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 18\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 47

Assembly District 47 includes the Culver City and Crenshaw areas of Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 18th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 99,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 47 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 24\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 30\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 28\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 400,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 24\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 31\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 36\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 20\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 23\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 42\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, $\$ 13,470$ for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 48

Assembly District 48 runs from Downtown Los Angeles to the northern edge of Watts. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fourth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 142,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 48 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 32\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 45\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 40\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 377,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 38\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 56\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 6\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 1\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 67\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 1\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 31\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 37\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 35\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 10\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 18\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 49

The four cities located in this district - M onterey Park, Alhambra, San Gabriel and Rosemead and their surrounding areas - form the core of Assembly District 49.1

This district has the fifth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 137,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 49 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 31\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 41\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 38\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 405,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 12\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 53\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 33\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 21\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 26\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 35\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 50

Assembly District 50 corrals the small cities east of Downtown Los Angeles, including Huntington Park, South Gate, M aywood and Bell Gardens. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the second highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 161,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 50 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 35\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 49\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 43\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 8\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 393,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 40\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 55\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 5\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 1\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 95\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 2\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 27\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 36\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 20\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 51

Centered in Inglewood, Assembly District 51 also includes Hawthorne and parts of South-Central Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 12th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 115,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 51 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 26\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 34\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 31\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 400,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 15\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 44\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 32\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 22\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 25\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 37\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 52

Assembly District 52 is centered in Watts and includes Gardena, Lynwood and the north part of Compton. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the ninth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 139,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 52 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 30\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 41\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 37\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 400,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 38\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 56\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 6\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 4\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 62\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 27\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 28\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 31\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 27\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 53

Hugging the Southern California coast from Venice to just north of Rolling Hills Estates, Assembly District 53 picks up the communities of El Segundo, M anhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach and Redondo Beach before ending around Torrance. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 31st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 75,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 53 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 396,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 18\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 68\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 14\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 14\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 58\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 54

Assembly District 54 includes the Palos Verdes Peninsula, San Pedro and the portion of Long Beach along the coast. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 24th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 86,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 54 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 23\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 27\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 26\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 386,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 22\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 58\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55

Assembly District 55 includes the southern portion of Carson, the Wilmington area and part of Long Beach. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 11th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 123,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 55 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 28\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 37\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 34\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 390,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 10\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 49\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 19\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 22\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 23\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 26\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 35\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 56

Assembly District 56 includes the communities of Lakewood, Cerritos, Bellflower, Downey and the northern part of Long Beach. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 21st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 96,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 56 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 22\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 29\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 402,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 43\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 30\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 19\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 57

Located in the Eastern San Gabriel Valley, Assembly District 57 includes Azusa, Baldwin Park, El M onte and La Puente. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the eighth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 139,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 57 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 30\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 41\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 37\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 405,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 10\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 72\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 15\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 27\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 34\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 58

Assembly District 58 runs along the eastern portion of Los Angeles County, including the cities of Norwalk, M ontebello and Pico Rivera, as well as the western edge of Whittier. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the tenth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 128,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 58 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 28\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 39\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 35\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 403,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 33\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 17\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 71\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 24\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 23\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 38\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 59

Assembly District 59 includes the northeastern corner of the San Gabriel Valley, including the cities of M onrovia, San Dimas, Covina and Claremont, as well as part of Pomona. The city of Azusa juts into the middle of the district but is part of the adjacent 57th district. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 25th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 89,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 59 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 27\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 25\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 407,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 53\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 29\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 52\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 60

Assembly District 60 bumps up against San Bernardino County on the east and Orange County on the south. It includes the communities of West Covina, Diamond Bar, Hacienda Heights and the eastern portion of Whittier. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 19th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 99,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 60 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 22\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 30\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 409,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 35\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 38\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 21\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 8\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 23\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 54\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 61

Close to $87 \%$ of the people who reside in Assembly District 61 live in the San Bernardino portion of the district. The remaining population lives in Pomona (Los Angeles County) and Ontario and Chino (San Bernardino County). ${ }^{1}$ This district has the 22nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 108,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 61 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 24\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 28\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 425,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 6\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 35\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 51\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 41\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 62

Assembly District 62 is an enclave of San Bernardino County. This district includes parts of the city of San Bernardino as well as the cities of Rialto, Colton and Fontana. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 20th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 109,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 62 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 25\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 28\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 438,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 35\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 32\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 51\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 11\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 21\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 25\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 34\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 63

Located south of the San Gabriel M ountains in San Bernardino County, Assembly District 63 contains Loma Linda, Upland and sections of San Bernardino. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 46th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 78,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 63 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 428,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 62\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 12\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 22\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 50\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 64

Assembly District 64 includes all of the city of Riverside, Norco and half the city of Corona. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 30th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 92,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 64 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 21\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 24\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 23\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 435,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 51\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 36\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 44\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 65

M ost of the 65th Assembly District's land mass lies in San Bernardino County, including the cities of Redlands, Yucaipa, Big Bear and Twenty-Nine Palms. The district also includes M oreno Valley, the San Jacinto Valley toward Hemet, and the city of San Jacinto in Riverside County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 34th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 79,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 65 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 443,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 30\% | 30\% |
| 19-64YEARS | 55\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 15\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 63\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 25\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 43\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 66

The bulk of the 66th district lies in western Riverside County, including half of Corona and all of Lake Elsinore and Temecula. The district also drops south to pick up a sliver of San Diego County (the Fallbrook and M t. Palomar areas) just south of Temecula. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 40th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly
 districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 91,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 66 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distuict Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Leve Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 524,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 56\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 65\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 12\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 47\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 67

Located in the north coastal part of Orange County, Assembly District 67 includes the cities of Los Alamitos, Huntington Beach and Costa M esa. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 61st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 60,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 67 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 18\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 17\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 406,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 21\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 66\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 70\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 14\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 15\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 1\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 65\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 68

Assembly District 68 contains parts of Garden Grove, nearly all of Buena Park and the western part of Anaheim. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 29th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 86,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's
 estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 68 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 25\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 23\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 414,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 43\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 33\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 22\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 12\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 54\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 69

Assembly District 69 is centered on Santa Ana in Orange County, including neighborhoods in Garden Grove and central Anaheim. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the seventh highest uninsured rate among persons ages 0-64, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 139,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 69 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 32\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 41\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 37\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 395,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 37\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 5\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 11\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 76\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 11\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 33\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 36\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health P |  | California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was \$8,959 for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 70

The 70th Assembly District takes in Orange County's central coast area, including the cities of Newport Beach, Laguna Beach and Irvine. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 48th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 77,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 70 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Raties by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 443,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 21\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 66\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 68\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 17\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 9\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 61\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 71

The 71st Assembly District takes up a chunk of interior Orange County, including the south county communities of Orange, Tustin and eastern Anaheim. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 52nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 78,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 71 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 16\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 474,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 19\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 5\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 65\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

## Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Calfomia data from March 2000 Curnent $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 72

Located in Orange County, the residents of Assembly District 72 are concentrated in the cities of Fullerton, Yorba Linda and La Habra. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 37th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 81,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 72 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 426,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 59\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 26\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 60\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 73

Split almost evenly between two counties, Assembly District 73 takes in San Clemente, San Juan Capistrano and Mission Viejo in southern Orange County, then skips across the line to include Camp Pendleton, Oceanside and Carlsbad in northern San Diego County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 39th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 82,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 73 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 445,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 65\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 23\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 54\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 74

This San Diego County district starts on the coast at Encinitas, extends south to Del M ar, then juts inland to pick up Escondido. ${ }^{1}$

Assembly District 74 has the 36th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 82,000 uninsured residents
 (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 74 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 450,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 67\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 26\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 12\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 17\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 53\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 75

The 75th Assembly District includes the northern part of the city of San Diego, then stretches north and east to take in Santee, Poway, Ramona and the desert regions around Borego Springs. Its eastern limits border Imperial County. ${ }^{1}$ This district has the 55th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 72,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 75 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 451,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 75\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 8\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 13\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 59\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEM BLY.html. | 3 | The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | mates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  | California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 76

Except for a small portion of the beach north of La Jolla, the 76th Assembly District lies entirely inland within the city of San Diego, ranging from Rancho Bernardo in the north to M ission Valley in the south. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 35th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 80,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 76 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 423,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 25\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 65\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 58\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 16\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 16\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 48\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 77

This San Diego County district takes in a chunk of the city of San Diego east of National City, part of Chula Vista, and the inland cities of Lemon Grove, La M esa and El Cajon. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 38th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 79,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 77 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 425,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 54\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 50\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. | and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 78

An elongated district that runs down the coast from La Jolla in the north to Imperial Beach in the south, Assembly District 78 also includes Coronado, Mission Bay, Balboa Park and other parts of San Diego. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 32nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 75,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 78 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 394,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 17\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 69\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 70\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 7\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 50\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 79

This San Diego County district takes in National City, half of Chula Vista and the southern part of San Diego city. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 14th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 110,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 79 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 27\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 33\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 30\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 396,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 36\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 12\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 61\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 14\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 13\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 26\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 30\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 27\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

|  | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALİinks/ASSEMBLY.html. | 3 | Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research |  |  |
|  | based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. | 4 | In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, | $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 80

Assembly District 80 incorporates all of Imperial County and part of Riverside County, with the majority of the district's residents concentrated in the Riverside County cities of Beaumont, Banning, Palm Springs, Indio and Blythe. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 47th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 80 Assembly districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates.
 The district has approximately 83,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsured rate).

|  | ASSEMBLY DISTRICT 80 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 497,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 55\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 16\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 41\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 53\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 2\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 20\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 30\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 30\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/ASSEMBLY.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
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#### Abstract

SUMMARY

This section provides a set of maps and tables containing information on uninsured rates by age group as well as selected population characteristics for each of the 40 Senate districts in the state of California.

Nine California state maps provide a visual comparison of uninsured rates, poverty, and race/ethnicity demographics across the state's 40 Senate districts. The first three maps show the uninsured rates in each Senate district for children, nonelderly adults, and the nonelderly population overall. The next two maps show the proportions of district residents who have family incomes below $100 \%$ of the federal poverty level (those considered to be living in poverty) and $100 \%-200 \%$ of poverty (often referred to as "near poor"). The last four maps show the proportions of district residents who are non-Latino white, Latino, Asian American/Pacific Islander, and African American.

Following the maps are tables, one for every Senate district, that expand on the characteristics visually presented by the maps. Each table provides a description and map of where the Senate district is located within the state of California and how its uninsured rate compares to the state's other Senate districts. Uninsured rates are arrayed from highest to lowest based on our estimated percentages to hundredths of a percent (rounded to whole percents in the tables). The tables also provide key demographic characteristics for the population, including the estimated number of residents in the district and population distributions by age group, race/ethnicity, and by family income relative to the federal poverty level, as well as statewide data on these same characteristics.


## HIGHLIGHTS

- District uninsured rates vary by age group (see Table 2, Introduction). For instance:
- Among children, ages $0-18$, the range is from $6 \%$ to $35 \%$;
- Among nonelderly adults, ages 19-64, the range is from $14 \%$ to $47 \%$;
- Among nonelderly persons, ages 0-64, the range is from $12 \%$ to $43 \%$;
- Among elderly persons, age 65 and over, the range is from 0\% to 7\%.
- The majority of district uninsured rates in California are higher than the national average:
- $65 \%$ of Senate districts ( 26 of 40 ) have higher uninsurance rates for children, ages $0-18$, than the national average of $14 \%$;
- $73 \%$ of Senate districts ( 29 of 40) have higher uninsurance rates for both nonelderly adults (ages 1964) and all nonelderly persons (ages 0-64) than the national averages of $19 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively.
- Senate districts with higher than national average uninsured rates for children and adults are located mainly in the counties of Los Angeles, Inyo, Kern, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, Imperial, and San Diego. Higher rates are also found in the San Francisco Bay area and in the San Joaquin Valley.
- Senate districts with the highest uninsured rates have the highest percentages of residents of color (i.e., Latino, Asian American/Pacific Islander, or African American). For example, the percentages of residents who are nonLatino white in the five Senate districts with the highest uninsured rates range from $5 \%$ to $13 \%$ (SD 22, SD 30, SD 24, SD 26, SD 25). These same districts have the largest percentages of residents who are Latino, ranging from 48\% in SD 26 to 83\% in SD 30.
- Four out of the five Senate districts with the highest uninsured rates also have the highest percentages of residents living in or near poverty (SD 22, SD 26, SD 25, SD 30).

Ages 0-64, California, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

12-14\% 15-19\% 20-24\% 25-29\% 30-34\% 35-39\% 40-43\%


Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

| 6-9\% |
| :---: |
| 10-14\% |
| 15-19\% |
| 20-24\% |
| 25-29\% |
| 30-34\% |
| 35\% |
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Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

Ages 19-64, California, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE UNINSURED

| 14-19\% |
| :---: |
| 20-24\% |
| 25-29\% |
| 30-34\% |
| 35-39\% |
| 40-44\% |
| 45-47\% |



Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE BELOW 100\% POVERTY

SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 37

LOS ANGELES AREA

Source: Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE AT 100\%-200\% POVERTY

| 8-9\% |
| :---: |
| 10-14\% |
| 15-19\% |
| 20-24\% |
| 25-29\% |
| 30-34\% |



PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE NON-LATINO WHITE


LOS ANGELES AREA

All Ages, California, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE LATINO


Source: Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE ASIAN AMERICAN/ PACIFIC ISLANDER


| $\square$ |
| :--- |
| $3-$ |
| 3 |
| $5-9 \%$ |
| $10-14 \%$ |



Source: Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

PERCENTAGE OF RESIDENTS WHO ARE AFRICAN AMERICAN


Source: Claritas Population Estimates, 2000


## SENATE DISTRICT 1

Senate District 1 includes the mountain counties of Modoc, Lassen, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Yuba, and most of Butte County as well as the M other Lode counties of Placer, El Dorado, Amador, Calaveras, Alpine and M ono. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fourth lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 105,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 1 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 16\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 14\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 886,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 15\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 83\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 10\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 3\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 2\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 21\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 47\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 2

Senate District 2 is situated in the Redwood Empire along California's north coast. It includes all of Del Norte, Humboldt, M endocino, Lake, and Napa counties, as well as the rural portion of Sonoma County north of Santa Rosa and the towns of Sonoma, Santa Rosa and Vallejo. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the second lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 91,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 2 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 6\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 16\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 815,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 74\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 14\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 2\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 25\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 45\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 3

Senate District 3 contains the eastern half of San Francisco, including Chinatown, as well as M arin County and the semi-suburban areas of Petaluma and Rohnert Park in Sonoma County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 15th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 168,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 3 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 25\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 24\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 805,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 20\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 67\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 60\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 16\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 16\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 8\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 10\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 59\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. |

and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.
The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, $\$ 13,470$ for a family of three,

## SENATE DISTRICT 4

This district is composed of Solano County (minus Vallejo), most of Yolo County and the delta region of Sacramento County, as well as the counties of Shasta, Siskiyou, Trinity, Tehama, Glenn, Colusa, Sutter, the northeastern corner of Yolo and the southern tip of Butte County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fifth lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 112,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 4 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 10\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 18\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 852,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 26\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 40\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 5

Senate District 5 is made up of the southern portion of Sacramento County; the northern part of San Joaquin County, including Lodi and the center of the San Joaquin Valley, including Stockton. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 30th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 137,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 5 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 17\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 906,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 30\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 57\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 23\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 14\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 46\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 6

Senate District 6 includes the northern part of Sacramento County as well as most of the city of Sacramento. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 31st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 124,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total
 population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENATE } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 6 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 17\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 820,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 59\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 17\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 10\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 19\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 7

Senate District 7 contains the East Bay communities of Lafayette, Walnut Creek, San Ramon, Dublin and Livermore in Contra Costa and Alameda counties, as well as the area around San Pablo Bay, the Carquinez Strait and the Suisun Bay from Pinole to Antioch, including the city of Concord. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 95,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 7 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 8\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 14\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 12\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 893,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 63\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 71\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 11\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 5\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 8\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 73\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 8

This district occupies the western portion of San Francisco and the western part of Daly City, as well as part of San M ateo County, including South San Francisco, San Bruno, M illbrae, Burlingame, Hillsborough, the city of San M ateo and parts of Foster City and Daly City. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the tenth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 185,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 8 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 23\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 28\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 805,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 21\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 15\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 39\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 19\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 36\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 14\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 66\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 9

Senate District 9 covers the eastern shore of the San Francisco Bay, including the cities of Richmond, San Pablo and EI Cerrito in Contra Costa County, and Berkeley, Albany and northern Oakland in Alameda County, as well as most of the rest of Oakland and all of the city of Alameda. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 28th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 125,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 9 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 786,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 31\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 18\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 33\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 15\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 57\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 10

Senate District 10 is composed of the cities of San Leandro, Hayward, Union City, Fremont and part of Pleasanton, all in Alameda County, as well as Milpitas and part of San Jose in Santa Clara County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the third lowest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 100,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 10 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 9\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 15\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 13\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 863,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 47\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 21\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 25\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 5\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 10\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 70\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 11

This district is located in the South Bay, covering the cities of Belmont, Redwood and Menlo Park in the southern part of San M ateo County, Palo Alto and Los Altos in Santa Clara County, and Cupertino, Saratoga, Los Gatos, Campbell and the southern part of San Jose in the Silicon Valley. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 35th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 109,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 11 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 16\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 824,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 24\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 67\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 16\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 5\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 12\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 12\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 71\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 12

Senate District 12 includes all of Merced County, and the southern part of M odesto in Stanislaus County; parts of the San Joaquin Valley, including parts of the cities of M odesto in the north; and M adera and a small part of Fresno to the south. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 27th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 141,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENATE } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 12 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 891,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| $65+$ YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 59\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 31\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 27\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 38\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 13

Senate District 13 contains Sunnyvale, western San Jose, M ountain View and Santa Clara, as well as most of San Jose. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 34th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 110,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 13 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 13\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 15\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 815,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 63\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 38\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 36\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 22\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 4\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 17\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 59\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, $\$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 14

Senate District 14 is located in the San Joaquin Valley, covering much of Fresno and most of Visalia, as well as much of the city of Tulare, Exeter and Porterville in Tulare County and most of Bakersfield in Kern County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 29th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate Districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 139,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 14 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 876,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 30\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 63\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 42\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 15

Senate District 15 is made up of Santa Cruz County, part of M onterey County, and San Benito County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 32nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 120,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 15 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 9\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 830,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 29\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 51\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 36\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 24\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 47\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 16

Senate District 16 lies in the San Joaquin Valley, covering all of Kings County, as well as parts of Fresno, Kern and M adera Counties, including portions of the cities of Fresno, Visalia, and Tulare. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 17th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 174,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 16 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 17\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 25\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 869,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 36\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 55\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 25\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 60\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 31\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 33\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 22\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 17

Senate District 17 is a large desert district that includes twothirds of San Bernardino County, much of southern Kern County, all of Inyo County, and the northernmost part of Los Angeles County's Antelope Valley, including the cities of Palmdale and Lancaster. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 21st highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 175,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 17 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 917,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 65\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 48\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 18

Senate District 18 contains most of Santa Barbara and Ventura counties, including the cities of Santa Barbara, Ojai, Santa Paula and Ventura, as well as San Luis Obispo County and a portion of Santa Barbara County north of the Gaviota Pass. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 33rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 111,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 18 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 14\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 17\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 16\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 0\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Leve Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 809,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ ETHNICITY ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 66\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 4\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 23\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 50\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 19

Senate District 19 consists of the southern and western parts of Ventura County, including Simi Valley, as well as Chatsworth, Northridge and Castaic in Los Angeles County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 26th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 133,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 19 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 15\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 18\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 830,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 58\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 29\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 10\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 8\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 56\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 20

Senate District 20 is located in the San Fernando Valley, including Pacoima, Van Nuys, North H ollywood and Studio City. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the sixth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 253,000 uninsured residents (an
 estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SENATE } \\ \text { DISTRICT } 20 \end{gathered}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 28\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 37\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 34\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 818,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 30\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 27\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 58\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 27\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 37\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 21

Senate District 21 includes Burbank, Los Feliz and Griffith Park, as well as Pasadena, La Canada and the SunlandTujunga portions of Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the ninth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 186,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 21 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 23\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 29\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 802,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 23\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 63\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 50\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 28\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 15\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 48\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

## SENATE DISTRICT 22

Senate District 22 is located in northeast and East Los Angeles, ${ }^{1}$ including Downtown Los Angeles and the surrounding communities of Atwater, Highland Park, Echo Park, Boyle Heights, Lincoln Heights, Chinatown, Hollywood, Little Tokyo, Temple-Beaudry, Westlake, Pico-Union, and Koreatown.

This district has the highest uninsured rate among
 persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 300,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 22 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 35\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 47\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 43\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 7\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 749,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 61\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 5\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 71\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 19\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 32\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 33\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 22\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 23

Senate District 23 straddles the Santa M onica M ountains, including Woodland Hills in the San Fernando Valley, M alibu, and the Pacific Palisades, as well as Hollywood, Beverly Hills and Westwood. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 16th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 150,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 23 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 24\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 23\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 797,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 15\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 67\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 18\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 75\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 13\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 9\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 55\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 24

Senate District 24 lies in the San Gabriel Valley, including M onterey Park, Alhambra, San Gabriel, Rosemead, Azusa, Baldwin Park, El M onte and La Puente. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the third highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 280,000 uninsured residents (an
 estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENATE } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 24 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 31\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 41\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 38\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 810,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 11\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 63\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 24\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 20\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 27\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 34\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 25

Senate District 25 covers Inglewood, H awthorne, Watts, Gardena, Lynwood, the north part of Compton, and parts of South-Central Los Angeles. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fifth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 253,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 25 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 28\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 37\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 34\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 5\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 800,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 10\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 53\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 8\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 29\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 25\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 28\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 32\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

## SENATE DISTRICT 26

Senate District 26 runs from Downtown Los Angeles to the northern edge of Watts, including Culver City and Crenshaw. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the fourth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 240,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated
 total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 26 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 29\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 37\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 34\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 777,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 13\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 48\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 34\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 28\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 29\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 13\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 30\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 27

Senate District 27 includes the Palos Verdes Peninsula, San Pedro, and the coastal and northern parts of Long Beach, as well as Lakewood, Cerritos, Bellflower, and Downey. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 13th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 178,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 27 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 22\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 28\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 26\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 788,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 25\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 50\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 27\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 16\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 18\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 51\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 28

Senate District 28 takes in the Southern California coast from Venice to just north of Rolling Hills Estates, including El Segundo, M anhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach and Redondo Beach, as well as the southern portion of Carson, theWilmington area and part of Long Beach. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the eighth highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts
 are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 198,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 28 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D'istrict Leve Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 25\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 30\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 28\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| Dístrict Leve Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 786,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 40\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 32\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 16\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 12\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 17\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 16\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 47\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 29

Senate District 29 covers the northeastern corner of the San Gabriel Valley, including the cities of M onrovia, San Dimas, Covina and Claremont, as well as part of Pomona, West Covina, Diamond Bar, Hacienda Heights and the eastern portion of Whittier. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 12th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 189,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 29 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 21\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 29\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 26\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 816,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 62\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 44\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 33\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 17\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 6\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 9\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 17\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 53\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 30

Senate District 30 contains cities east of downtown Los Angeles in Los Angeles County, including Huntington Park, South Gate, M aywood, Bell Gardens, Norwalk, M ontebello, Pico Rivera and the western edge of Whittier. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the second highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 289,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SENATE } \\ \text { DISTRICT } 30 \end{gathered}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 32\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 43\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 39\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 6\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| Di'strict Leve Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 796,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 36\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 57\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 9\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 83\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 21\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 30\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 29\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 31

Senate District 31 is centered in San Bernardino County south of the San Gabriel M ountains. It includes Loma Linda, U pland, sections of San Bernardino, Redlands, Yucaipa, Big Bear and Twenty-Nine Palms. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 22nd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 161,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 31 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 871,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 30\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 62\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 25\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 7\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 47\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

| 1 | http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad 3 \quad$| The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and |
| :--- |
| California data from March 2000 Current Population Survey. |

and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and 4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was \$8,959 for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three,

## SENATE DISTRICT 32

Senate District 32 is composed of sections of San Bernardino County, including Ontario, Chino, Rialto, Colton, Fontana and part of San Bernardino, as well as sections of Pomona in Los Angeles County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 11th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 217,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SENATE } \\ \text { DISTRICT } 32 \end{gathered}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 25\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 28\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 27\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 4\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 864,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 34\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 7\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 33\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 51\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 9\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 19\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 23\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 38\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 33

Senate District 33 is located in Orange County, including Orange, Tustin, eastern Anaheim, Fullerton, Yorba Linda and La Habra. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 23rd highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 162,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 33 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 17\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 21\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 20\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 899,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 26\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 64\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 10\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 64\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 22\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 6\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 15\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 63\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey.
4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 34

Senate District 34 is made up of parts of Garden Grove, Santa Ana, nearly all of Buena Park, and the western and central parts of Anaheim. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the seventh highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 223,000 uninsured residents (an
 estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SENATE } \\ & \text { DISTRICT } 34 \end{aligned}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 26\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 33\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 30\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 809,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 33\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 8\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 27\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 54\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 17\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 26\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 45\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 35

Senate District 35 is situated in Orange County, covering the cities of Los Alamitos, Huntington Beach, Costa M esa, Newport Beach, Laguna Beach and Irvine. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 25th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 141,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 35 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 17\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 19\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 19\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 850,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 21\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 66\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 69\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 16\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 7\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 16\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 14\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 63\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 36

Senate District 36 is located in Riverside County and part of San Diego County, including the cities of Riverside, Norco, Corona, Lake Elsinore, Temecula, and the Fallbrook and Mt . Palomar areas of San Diego County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 19th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 188,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 36 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distict Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 20\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 3\% | 2\% |
| Dístrict Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 958,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 31\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 11\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 58\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 31\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 13\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 20\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 21\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 46\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 37

Senate District 37 is composed of the northern part of the city of San Diego, as well as Santee, Poway, Ramona and the desert regions around Borrego Springs, all of Imperial County, and the cities of Beaumont, Banning, Palm Springs, Indio and Blythe in Riverside County. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 24th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 155,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 37 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 16\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 20\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 19\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
|  |  |  |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 947,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| 0-18YEARS | 28\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 58\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 14\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 58\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 34\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 5\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 2\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 14\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 22\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 20\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 44\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 38

Senate District 38 contains parts of Orange County, including San Clemente, San Juan Capistrano and Mission Viejo, and parts of San Diego County, including Camp Pendleton, Oceanside, Carlsbad, Encinitas and Escondido. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 20th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 164,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 38 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 18\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 22\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 21\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 895,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 27\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 60\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 13\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 66\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 24\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 6\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 3\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL² |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 11\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 18\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 54\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.


## SENATE DISTRICT 39

Senate District 39 runs down the coast from La Jolla in the north to Imperial Beach in the south, including Coronado, M ission Bay, Balboa Park and other parts of San Diego. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 18th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The district has approximately 158,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | SENATE DISTRICT 39 | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 19\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 23\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 22\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 1\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 817,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| $0-18$ YEARS | 21\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 67\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 12\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICTY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 64\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 18\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 12\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 5\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 1\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 15\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 19\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 17\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\%+ POVERTY | 49\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. } \\ 2 & \text { Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research } \\ \text { based on data from Current Population Surveys, } 1990 \text { Census, and }\end{array}$
3 The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

4 In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65, California data from M arch 2000 Current Population Survey. $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age 65, \$13,470 for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## SENATE DISTRICT 40

Senate District 40 includes National City, a portion of the city of San Diego east of National City as well as the southern part of San Diego, parts of Chula Vista, and the inland cities of Lemon Grove, La Mesa and El Cajon. ${ }^{1}$

This district has the 14th highest uninsured rate among persons ages $0-64$, when the state's 40 Senate districts are arrayed from highest to lowest uninsured rates. The
 district has approximately 194,000 uninsured residents (an estimate that is based on multiplying the district's estimated total population by its estimated uninsurance rate).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SENATE } \\ \text { DISTRICT } 40 \end{gathered}$ | CALIFORNIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District Level Uninsured Rates by Age Group ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| PERCENT OF CHILDREN WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-18) | 23\% | 19\% |
| PERCENT OF NONELDERLY ADULTS WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 19-64) | 27\% | 24\% |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL NONELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGES 0-64) | 26\% | 22\% |
| PERCENT OF ELDERLY WHO ARE UNINSURED (AGE 65+) | 2\% | 2\% |
| District Level Demographics |  |  |
| TOTAL POPULATION ${ }^{3}$ | 821,000 | 33,958,367 |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY AGE GROUP ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |
| 0-18 YEARS | 32\% | 30\% |
| 19-64 YEARS | 59\% | 60\% |
| 65+YEARS | 9\% | 10\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY RACE/ETHNICITY ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| NON-LATINO WHITE | 34\% | 51\% |
| LATINO | 44\% | 30\% |
| ASIAN AMERICAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER | 13\% | 12\% |
| AFRICAN AMERICAN | 9\% | 6\% |
| AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKA NATIVE | 0\% | 1\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |
| POPULATION DISTRIBUTION BY FEDERAL POVERTY LEVEL ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| INCOME BELOW 100\% POVERTY ${ }^{4}$ | 18\% | 14\% |
| INCOME 100\%-200\% POVERTY | 24\% | 20\% |
| INCOME 201\%-300\% POVERTY | 19\% | 15\% |
| INCOME 301\% + POVERTY | 39\% | 51\% |
| TOTAL | 100\% | 100\% |

Sources:

1 http://www.igs-ucb.caltech.edu/igs/CALJ OURNALlinks/SENATE.html. 3 Estimates calculated by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research based on data from Current Population Surveys, 1990 Census, and Claritas Population Estimates, 2000.

The district's data derived from Claritas Population Estimates, 2000, and Califomia data from March 2000 Current Population Survey.
In 2000, the poverty threshold was $\$ 8,959$ for one person under age 65 , $\$ 11,531$ for a family of two under age $65, \$ 13,470$ for a family of three, and $\$ 17,761$ for a family of four, etc.

## APPENDIX <br> 

## DATA AND METHODS FOR ESTIMATION OF UNINSURED RATES

The objective of this study was to develop estimates of uninsured rates among children, adults and elderly residents in California's 80 Assembly and 40 Senate districts. Because no district-level information exists on uninsured rates, we employed a process of "small area estimation" to develop these estimates by age group.

Small area estimates are developed when direct estimates are not possible due to the absence of data. We developed estimates of uninsured rates in legislative districts (e.g., for children in Los Angeles County, for which direct estimates are not possible at the district level) by applying relevant estimates that are known for closely related populations (e.g., for children in Los Angeles County as a whole). We developed small area estimates using regression models and data from various sources.

The data sets used for the estimations include several years of the M arch Current Population Survey (CPS) and the 1990 Census, both of which are conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau. We drew population estimates at the censustract level from the Claritas Population Estimates, 2000. Previous studies found strong associations between uninsurance and demographic characteristics, such as age, gender, and race/ethnicity; as well as with socioeconomic characteristics, such as income. Based on data availability, the regression models used in estimating uninsured rates in legislative districts included age, gender, race/ethnicity, income level and geographic region or metropolitan statistical area (MSA), as defined by the Census Bureau.

The following data sets were used to derive uninsured rates for California's Assembly and Senate districts. The table and text below provide descriptions of each data set used as well as variables available in each data set:

DATA SOURCES

| DATA SET | MSA | County | Census <br> Tract | Age | Sex | Race/ <br> Ethnicity | Income <br> Level | Insurance <br> Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |  |
| CLARITAS 2000 | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| CENSUS90A | X | X | X | X |  | X | X |  |
| CENSUS90B | X |  |  | X | X | X | X | X |
| CPS 1989-1991 \& 1998-2000 | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TEALE |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Claritas Population Estimates, 2000 provides population estimates by age, gender and race/ethnicity at the census tract level. Claritas is a private marketing research firm that provides postcensal population estimates every year. Claritas used the 1990 Census as a base and updated the data using information from a wide variety of sources, including the Census Bureau, local governments, and commercial sources that reflects population composition and changes. (A full description of the methodology is available from Claritas.)
- Census 1990 ("Census90A") provides population estimates by age, gender and race/ethnicity.
- Census 1990 ("Census90B") provides population estimates by age, race/ethnicity, and income distribution by federal poverty level.
- Current Population Surveys (CPS) for M arch 1989-1991 and 1998-2000 provide demographic and socioeconomic
information (including insurance status) at the individual level with geographic identifiers such as metropolitan statistical area (MSA) for the previous year.
- The Teale D ata Center, a state governed geographic information laboratory, provides a list of California's 80 Assembly districts and 40 Senate districts by census tract ( 5858 tracts) based on the 1990 Census. This list provides key information on the linkages between census tracts and districts.

Two major statistical procedures were used to develop estimated uninsured rates for each California Assembly and Senate district. The first procedure involved estimating income distribution, and the second involved estimating uninsured rates.

A detailed description of the methodology used in this study is available from the authors.
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[^0]:    2 Due to rounding, actual figures for districts with 0\% uninsured rate or
    other population characteristics may range from $0 \%$ to $.49 \%$.

[^1]:    4
    Brown, Ponce, and Rice, The State of Health Insurance in California: Recent Trends, Future Prospects.

