Teens Living in Disadvantaged Neighborhoods Lack Access to Parks and Get Less Physical Activity
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California adolescents who live in disadvantaged neighborhoods lack access to parks and get less physical activity relative to teens living in more advantaged neighborhoods. In California, nearly one million adolescents get less than the recommended levels of physical activity (29%), including 240,000 who get no physical activity (7%). In addition, more than 825,000 teens report they have no safe park near home (25%). These problems are more likely to affect teens living in neighborhoods with high concentrations of low-income households, high rates of crowding, high unemployment rates and lower levels of education.

Living in a disadvantaged neighborhood is associated with a number of poor health outcomes including coronary heart disease, disability, mortality and low birth weight. It is also associated with higher prevalence of obesity and lower levels of physical activity among adults. Neighborhood disadvantage may be related to the availability of resources for physical activity. Differences in the availability of these resources across neighborhoods may contribute to disparities in health behaviors and outcomes such as physical activity and obesity.

Based on data from the 2003 California Health Interview Survey, the U.S. Census and park location information, this policy brief examines adolescent physical activity.

Exhibit 1
Physical Activity and Park Access by Concentration of Poverty in the Neighborhood, Adolescents Ages 12-17, California, 2003

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of Neighborhood Poverty</th>
<th>Regular Physical Activity %</th>
<th>No Physical Activity %</th>
<th>Park within 400m of Home and Self-reported Safe Park Near Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-24%</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-49%</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8*</td>
<td>19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% and above</td>
<td>67*</td>
<td>10*</td>
<td>19*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from 0-24%, p<0.05

Note: Concentration of neighborhood poverty refers to the percent of households in the census tract with incomes below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level. In 2003, 200% of the Federal Poverty Level was $24,768 for a family of two and $37,620 for a family of four.

Source: 2003 California Health Interview Survey, 2000 United States Census and Tele Atlas North America Inc./Geographic Data Technology Inc. created by ESRI.
Previous research has shown that areas with higher poverty rates are associated with fewer commercial recreational facilities, fewer safe playgrounds and less physical activity among adults.3 Teens living in neighborhoods with a greater concentration of crowded households are less physically active and have less access to parks (Exhibit 2). As neighborhood crowding increases from less than one-quarter to more than one-half of households being crowded, the prevalence of regular physical activity decreases from 73% to 56%, and the prevalence of inactivity more than triples from 6% to 21%. In addition, only 15% of adolescents in neighborhoods where at least half of the households are crowded have a park near home they consider safe compared to 23% of those living in neighborhoods with less household crowding.

As neighborhood unemployment rates increase, teen physical activity and access to parks tends to decrease (Exhibit 3). As the neighborhood unemployment rate increases from less than 3% to over 8%, rates of regular physical activity decrease from 75% to 65%, and rates of inactivity more than double from 4% to 9%. In addition, as the neighborhood unemployment rate increases, the percent of teens who have a park near home they consider safe decreases by two-thirds from 30% to...
11%. In 2003, the unemployment rate in California was 6.8%. Previous research has shown high rates of unemployment are associated with higher rates of obesity.4

Teens living in neighborhoods with a lower proportion of college-educated adults tend to get less physical activity and have less access to parks (Exhibit 4). As neighborhood education level increases, the prevalence of regular physical activity increases from 69% to 74%, and inactivity decreases from 8% to 5%; however these differences are not statistically significant. As neighborhood education level increases, the percent of teens with a park near home they consider safe increases from 19% to 35%. Previous research has found that increases in neighborhood education level are associated with increases in access to facilities for physical activity.5

**Conclusions and Policy Recommendations**
The findings from this study demonstrate that teens who live in disadvantaged neighborhoods are less likely to live near a park they consider safe and less likely to be physically active than teens in more advantaged neighborhoods. Neighborhood concentrations of poverty, household crowding, unemployment, and low levels of education are associated with a smaller proportion of teens having access to a safe park, and with lower rates of physical activity and higher rates of inactivity. Insufficient physical activity contributes to obesity and to risk of complications from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neighborhood Unemployment Rate</th>
<th>Regular Physical Activity</th>
<th>No Physical Activity</th>
<th>Park within 400m of Home and Self-reported Safe Park Near Home</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 3%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5%</td>
<td>69*</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-7%</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>16*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8% and above</td>
<td>65*</td>
<td>9*</td>
<td>11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from “less than 3%,” p<0.05
Note: Neighborhood unemployment rate refers to the percent of unemployed persons age 16 and over in the census tract.
Source: 2003 California Health Interview Survey, 2000 United States Census and Tele Atlas North America Inc./Geographic Data Technology Inc. created by ESRI.
chronic conditions, such as type 2 diabetes, heart disease and hypertension.

The characteristics of neighborhoods where adolescents live can encourage or discourage health behaviors such as physical activity. For example, availability of facilities and opportunities for physical activity are associated with more physical activity. Lower rates of physical activity among adolescents living in disadvantaged neighborhoods are likely due in part to the lack of access to safe parks and other facilities for physical activity. Other research has shown that proximity to a park is one of the most important factors affecting use of parks and physical activity in urban, minority communities. Furthermore, disadvantaged neighborhoods are less likely to have the tax-base resources (typically allocated by municipal governments) to develop and maintain parks and other facilities that provide opportunities for physical activity.

Addressing neighborhood disadvantage is important in the long term, both to increase physical activity and to improve the lives and life opportunities of California’s adolescents. However, steps can be taken in the short term to promote increased physical activity. Making improvements to park safety and creating public awareness about such efforts will eliminate a critical barrier to park access and physical activity therein. In California, 220,000 adolescents report they have a park within walking distance of home, but do not perceive that park to be safe during the day. Increased and supervised programming at parks, coupled with community-driven public safety strategies jointly implemented by law enforcement agencies, park and recreation staff, residents and other community stakeholders, can make parks more secure and increase use of these parks.

In addition, some parks, especially in low-income neighborhoods, have inadequate facilities for physical activity, lack professionally-trained staff and lack programs that attract teens. Properly maintaining existing parks and recreational facilities and offering programs that engage adolescents with trained staff can improve the quality of these facilities and make them more attractive to teenagers. Increasing park and recreation departments’ general operating budgets to allow for additional programming, staffing and maintenance in disadvantaged communities would help eliminate structural barriers to park usage and physical activity.

However, low-income neighborhoods are less likely than more affluent communities to have parks and other recreational facilities. Investing in the development of new parks and recreational facilities in disadvantaged areas currently lacking them increases opportunities for physical activity for teens living in these areas. Increasing opportunities, in turn, leads to increased rates of physical activity. Communities can also develop other places for recreation and physical activity through policies such as joint use agreements between public schools and park and recreation departments. Making school facilities (such as gymnasiums, sports fields or playgrounds) available for use by the community after school and on weekends provides additional opportunities for physical activity utilizing existing structures, thereby reducing the costs of making recreational facilities accessible to more young people.

Such policies can increase the opportunities for physical activity available to California’s adolescents, particularly those living in disadvantaged neighborhoods.
Data Source
All statements in this report that compare rates for one group with another group reflect statistically significant differences (p<0.05) unless otherwise noted.

The findings in this brief are based primarily on data from the 2003 California Health Interview Survey (CHIS 2003). CHIS 2003 completed interviews with 4,010 adolescents ages 12-17, drawn from every county in the state, in English, Spanish, Chinese (both Mandarin and Cantonese), Vietnamese and Korean. CHIS 2003 provides extensive information about adolescent physical activity for the state of California. Regular physical activity is defined as performing at least 20 minutes of vigorous activity on three or more of the last seven days, or at least 30 minutes of moderate activity on five or more of the last seven days. Adolescents were considered to get no physical activity if they reported performing no vigorous activity and no moderate activity in the past week. Adolescents were considered to have access to a park if they had a park within 400m of their homes based on GIS-measured Euclidian distance, and also reported that there was a park or open space within walking distance of home that they perceived to be safe during the day. The park location information is part of a geospatial database using park locations from Tele Atlas North America Inc./Geographic Data Technology Inc. and created by ESRI. Neighborhood characteristics are based on 2000 Census data for the census tract in which the adolescent lives.

CHIS is a collaboration of the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, the California Department of Health Services, and the Public Health Institute. Funding for CHIS 2003 was provided by the California Department of Health Services, The California Endowment, the National Cancer Institute, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the California Office of the Patient Advocate, Kaiser Permanente, L.A. Care Health Plan and the Alameda County Health Care Agency. For more information on CHIS, visit www.chis.ucla.edu.
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