

Under 200% FPL and Food Insecure¹ by CA Assembly District, age 2+, CHIS 2009

Prepared by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, October 2012

District Type	District Number	Number Impacted	Percent	Lower CI	Upper CI	Compared to State
State	CA	3,767,000	13.7%	12.9%	14.4%	
CA Assembly	1	36,000	9.6%	7.4%	11.7%	lower
CA Assembly	2	30,000	8.9%	6.3%	11.6%	lower
CA Assembly	3	57,000	16.4%	13.6%	19.3%	
CA Assembly	4	56,000	15.7%	10.7%	20.7%	
CA Assembly	5	33,000	9.6%	7.3%	12.0%	lower
CA Assembly	6	7,000	2.3%	0.9%	3.7%	lower
CA Assembly	7	44,000	12.6%	8.2%	17.1%	
CA Assembly	8	56,000	16.2%	11.0%	21.3%	
CA Assembly	9	33,000	9.6%	5.2%	14.1%	
CA Assembly	10	36,000	10.0%	4.6%	15.5%	
CA Assembly	11	42,000	12.9%	6.5%	19.4%	
CA Assembly	12	29,000	9.6%	6.0%	13.2%	lower
CA Assembly	13	52,000	16.9%	10.8%	23.0%	
CA Assembly	14	66,000	17.3%	10.7%	24.0%	
CA Assembly	15	51,000	12.5%	4.4%	20.5%	
CA Assembly	16	4,000	1.2%†	0.1%	2.3%	lower
CA Assembly	17	67,000	16.1%	6.9%	25.3%	
CA Assembly	18	105,000	24.5%†	11.5%	37.5%	
CA Assembly	19	21,000	5.8%†	1.1%	10.5%	lower
CA Assembly	20	29,000	10.4%	4.6%	16.2%	
CA Assembly	21	60,000	19.5%	14.5%	24.4%	higher
CA Assembly	22	37,000	9.7%	4.1%	15.4%	
CA Assembly	23	41,000	12.5%	7.6%	17.3%	
CA Assembly	24	6,000	1.5%†	0.1%	2.9%	lower
CA Assembly	25	9,000	2.8%†	0.0%	5.9%	lower
CA Assembly	26	60,000	18.8%	13.1%	24.4%	
CA Assembly	27	68,000	20.0%	10.0%	29.9%	
CA Assembly	28	4,000	0.9%	0.3%	1.6%	lower
CA Assembly	29	41,000	12.4%	8.1%	16.8%	
CA Assembly	30	60,000	19.1%	14.2%	24.1%	higher
CA Assembly	31	99,000	30.4%	23.8%	37.0%	higher
CA Assembly	32	47,000	19.8%	12.5%	27.1%	
CA Assembly	33	85,000	24.3%†	14.2%	34.5%	higher
CA Assembly	34	58,000	16.0%†	1.9%	30.2%	
CA Assembly	35	38,000	10.7%	7.4%	14.0%	
CA Assembly	36	35,000	12.4%	7.8%	17.1%	
CA Assembly	37	32,000	9.6%	5.4%	13.9%	
CA Assembly	38	21,000	6.2%	1.4%	10.9%	lower
CA Assembly	39	85,000	22.0%	12.6%	31.4%	
CA Assembly	40	38,000	9.8%	6.0%	13.6%	lower
CA Assembly	41	31,000	8.6%	4.6%	12.6%	lower
CA Assembly	42	52,000	14.5%	5.5%	23.5%	
CA Assembly	43	51,000	13.9%†	3.1%	24.8%	
CA Assembly	44	40,000	12.0%	6.9%	17.0%	

Under 200% FPL and Food Insecure¹ by CA Assembly District, age 2+, CHIS 2009

Prepared by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, October 2012

District Type	District Number	Number Impacted	Percent	Lower CI	Upper CI	Compared to State
CA Assembly	45	24,000	7.2%	4.0%	10.3%	lower
CA Assembly	46	38,000	13.1%	5.9%	20.3%	
CA Assembly	47	67,000	24.0%†	13.8%	34.3%	higher
CA Assembly	48	17,000	5.3%	2.0%	8.6%	lower
CA Assembly	49	42,000	10.1%	6.2%	14.0%	
CA Assembly	50	34,000	9.1%†	0.0%	18.8%	
CA Assembly	51	56,000	13.5%	9.0%	17.9%	
CA Assembly	52	36,000	14.3%	8.1%	20.5%	
CA Assembly	53	100,000	27.7%	18.1%	37.3%	higher
CA Assembly	54	56,000	13.4%	7.6%	19.2%	
CA Assembly	55	19,000	4.9%	1.5%	8.3%	lower
CA Assembly	56	42,000	15.3%	10.8%	19.8%	
CA Assembly	57	100,000	24.8%†	10.1%	39.6%	
CA Assembly	58	46,000	12.1%	7.2%	17.1%	
CA Assembly	59	112,000	33.0%†	21.2%	44.9%	higher
CA Assembly	60	36,000	13.2%	4.6%	21.7%	
CA Assembly	61	85,000	24.0%†	11.3%	36.8%	
CA Assembly	62	36,000	11.7%	6.2%	17.1%	
CA Assembly	63	55,000	19.0%	10.9%	27.2%	
CA Assembly	64	57,000	22.4%	14.1%	30.7%	higher
CA Assembly	65	33,000	10.2%	5.9%	14.4%	
CA Assembly	66	19,000	4.9%	2.0%	7.7%	lower
CA Assembly	67	42,000	13.8%†	0.0%	28.2%	
CA Assembly	68	16,000	4.2%†	0.5%	7.9%	lower
CA Assembly	69	87,000	34.4%†	21.5%	47.3%	higher
CA Assembly	70	113,000	28.5%†	15.4%	41.5%	higher
CA Assembly	71	27,000	7.2%	4.8%	9.6%	lower
CA Assembly	72	155,000	35.4%†	17.7%	53.0%	higher
CA Assembly	73	47,000	11.9%†	1.7%	22.0%	
CA Assembly	74	31,000	9.4%†	0.0%	18.8%	
CA Assembly	75	26,000	8.9%	5.7%	12.2%	lower
CA Assembly	76	25,000	7.7%	4.4%	11.0%	lower
CA Assembly	77	7,000	1.9%	1.1%	2.7%	lower
CA Assembly	78	18,000	5.2%	3.5%	6.9%	lower
CA Assembly	79	42,000	11.3%	5.7%	16.9%	
CA Assembly	80	70,000	23.2%	15.9%	30.6%	higher

Notes:

¹ Low-income food insecurity is defined as percent of adults in the population who had difficulty reliably putting food on the table in the last year and were under 200% of the federal poverty level.

† Estimate should be interpreted with caution because it is either unstable (coefficient of variation $\geq 40\%$) or it has a wide confidence interval (> 20 percentage points).

Lower and Upper CI denotes 95% confidence intervals for every calculated estimates. This means that the actual value lies between the lower and upper range.

Compared to State denotes estimates of districts that are significantly higher or lower than the overall California estimate. Blank cells denote no significant difference.

Source:

2009 California Health Interview Survey (CHIS). Boundaries for legislative districts were approximated using 2010 Census tracts.