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# New Poll Shows California Voters 40 and Older Largely Unprepared for Costs of Long-Term Care Services 

Lack of Preparation Spans Income and Political Party Affiliation

April 21, 2010 - A new survey released today examines the views of California voters 40 and older toward long-term care, and their ability to afford it. On behalf of The SCAN Foundation and UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, Lake Research Partners and American View point conducted a statewide survey among 1,218 registered voters in California ages 40 and older. The survey was fielded $M$ arch 5 through 22, 2010. The margin of sampling error is $\pm 2.8$ percentage points. The purpose of the survey was to understand how prepared voters 40 and older are for the costs of long-term care, gauge their concern about the issue, and explore whether voters think the issue should be a priority for candidates and elected officials.

The poll shows most voters 40 and older are largely unprepared for the costs of long-term care should they need it. M ost do not have long-term care insurance, nor are they aware that M edicare does not cover extended long-term care services. Additionally, a majority of these voters could not pay for more than three months of nursing home or part-time inhome care if they needed it. Considerable proportions could not afford just one month. This lack of preparedness spans demographic groups, including income and political party affiliation. Voters 40 and older are worried about being able to afford long-term care, concerned about losing their savings to pay for it, and look to elected officials to improve the affordability of services.

Following are key findings:
B Two-thirds ( 66 percent) of voters 40 and older worry about being able to pay for long-term care that they or a family member may need in the future. Concern crosses party affiliation, with majorities of Democrats (72 percent), Republicans (59 percent), and independents ( 63 percent) worried about being able to afford care.

B Voters' concerns about paying for future long-term care is not surprising given:

- Only 15 percent of these voters report having long-term care insurance;
- 68 percent say they could not afford more than three months of nursing home care, and 42 percent could not afford one month of care; and
- 57 percent could not afford more than three months of in-home care, with one in three ( 33 percent) currently unable to afford one month of care.

B A majority of voters 40 and older (69 percent) either inaccurately believes M edicare covers long-term nursing home care, or is unsure. Seventy-eight percent hold the same views about in-home care.

B Nearly all voters 40 and older ( 95 percent) say it is important to have affordable long-term care options available so older people can avoid going into nursing homes. Two-thirds ( 66 percent) say ensuring these options should be a high priority for state elected officials, including half or more of Democrats (76 percent), Republicans ( 50 percent), and independents ( 66 percent).

B The majority of voters 40 and older ( 85 percent) say they are almost certain to vote in the upcoming elections this November. If an election were held today for governor, 49 percent say they would vote for the Democratic candidate, 38 percent say they would vote for the Republican candidate, and 8 percent would vote for a third-party candidate.

B Regardless of party affiliation or which candidate they are leaning toward electing to the governor's office, majorities say it is important that candidates talk about issues like long-term care. Nearly all Democrats (94 percent) feel this way, as well as 80 percent of Republicans, and 84 percent of independents.

B Throughout the survey, we find that worry about affording long-term care services, preparedness, and priorities for elected officials span demographic groups including income, party affiliation, age, gender, and ethnicity.

Following are detailed findings.

## Detailed Findings

## California voters 40 and older worry about being able to pay for longterm care services in the future.

Two-thirds ( 66 percent) of voters 40 and older say they worry about being able to pay for long-term care that they or a family member may need in the future. In a list of seven worries about growing older, affording long-term care ranks among concerns such as losing one's independence, worsening health, and losing memory or other mental abilities. (See Figure 1.)

Figure 1: Thinking about Growing Older, How Worried Are You about: Percent saying very/somewhat worried

Q. Thinking about growing older, how worried are you about each of the following issues: Q . The next questions are about long-term care, which includes a range of services people need when they can no longer care for themselves independently. These services include providing help in people's homes or communities with daily activities like bathing and taking medications, as well as care in a nursing home.

There is virtually no difference across income levels when it comes to worrying about affording long-term care. (See Table 1.) Even 63 percent of those in the highest income brackets - household incomes of $\$ 75,000$ or more - are worried about being able to afford long-term care services. Concern also crosses the political spectrum.

Voters between ages 40 and 64 are more likely to worry about affording care than those 65 and older, and Latino voters are slightly more likely than white, non-Hispanic voters to be worried.

M ore than half of voters 40 and older say they are currently providing care for a family member or friend who has a long-term illness or disability, or are likely to provide this care in the next few years ( 30 percent currently, 25 percent in near future). These current and future caregivers are more likely to express worry about long-term care costs than others.

Table 1: Worry about Long-Term Care Affordability by Demographics

| Percent very/somewhat <br> worried | Worried about Being Able to Afford <br> Long-Term Care Services <br> if Needed |
| :--- | :---: |
| All voters 40+ | $\mathbf{6 6 \%}$ |
| <Age 65 | $69 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $57 \%$ |
| Whites, non-Hispanic | $65 \%$ |
| Latinos $^{1}$ | $73 \%$ |
| \$30K household income | $67 \%$ |
| $\$ 30-\$ 49 \mathrm{~K}$ | $69 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 74 \mathrm{~K}$ | $67 \%$ |
| $\$ 75 \mathrm{~K}+$ | $63 \%$ |
| Democrats | $72 \%$ |
| Independents | $63 \%$ |
| Republicans | $59 \%$ |
| Current/future caregivers | $75 \%$ |
| Others | $54 \%$ |

[^0]
## Close to six in ten voters 40 and older say they feel financially unprepared to pay for long-term care, if they needed it.

To gauge respondents' financial preparedness toward long-term care, we asked:
Think for a moment about your current financial situation, any insurance you might have, or any other financial resources at your disposal. Hypothetically speaking, how financially prepared do you feel you are if you needed long-term care, starting right now and for an unknown period of time?

Fifty-eight percent of voters 40 and older say they feel not very ( 33 percent) or not at all ( 25 percent) prepared should they need long-term care services. (See Figure 2.) Only five percent say they currently feel very prepared.

Figure 2: How Financially Prepared Do You Feel You Are If You Needed Long-Term Care Services Right Now for Unknown Period of Time?

Q. Think for a moment about your current financial situation, any insurance you might have, or any other financial resources at your disposal. Hypothetically speaking, how financially prepared do you feel you are if you needed long-term care, starting right now and for an unknown period of time?

It is not just lower-income voters who feel financially unprepared. Six in ten (60 percent) of those with incomes between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 74,999$ and nearly half ( 48 percent) of those earning $\$ 75,000$ or more say they feel unprepared currently. (See Table 2.)

Voters ages 40 to 64 feel particularly unprepared to deal with long-term care services should they need them ( 66 percent vs. 40 percent of those 65 and older). Latino voters are slightly more likely than others to feel unprepared ( 67 percent vs. 57 percent).

There is very little difference across the political spectrum on feelings toward preparedness.

Table 2: Feeling Unprepared for Long-Term Care by Demographics

| Percent not too/ not at all <br> prepared | Feel Unprepared to Pay for Long-Term <br> Care Services If Needed |
| :--- | :---: |
| All voters $\mathbf{4 0 +}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ |
| <Age 65 | $66 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $40 \%$ |
| Whites, non-Hispanic | $57 \%$ |
| Latinos | $67 \%$ |
| $\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}$ household income | $68 \%$ |
| $\$ 30-\$ 49 \mathrm{~K}$ | $66 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 74 \mathrm{~K}$ | $60 \%$ |
| $\$ 75 \mathrm{~K}+$ | $48 \%$ |
| Democrats | $60 \%$ |
| Independents | $58 \%$ |
| Republicans | $55 \%$ |

## When presented with average costs, a majority of voters 40 and older say they could not afford long-term care for longer than three months if they needed it.

Respondents were given the average long-term nursing home costs (\$6,000 per month) in California and told that Medicare and regular private insurance plans do not pay for these costs. They were then asked how long they could afford care in a nursing home. Four in ten ( 42 percent) say they could not afford one month of care. Two-thirds ( 68 percent) say they could not afford care for longer than three months. Fourteen percent say they could afford one year or more of nursing home care. (See Figure 3.)

Figure 3: How Long Could You Afford Nursing Home Care If You Had to Pay for It Yourself?

## 68\% could not pay

for more than 3
months

Q. Right now, long-term nursing home care costs about $\$ 6,000$ a month in California. Medicare and regular private insurance plans do not pay for any of these costs. How many months could you afford nursing home care, if you had to pay for all of it yourself?

The survey also asked about their ability to afford a part-time, licensed personal care aide to come into their home, which would cost about $\$ 1,700$ per month. One in three (33 percent) says they could not afford one month of this type of in-home care. Fifty-seven percent of voters 40 and older say they could not afford more than three months, and 22 percent say they could afford a year or longer of in-home care. (See Figure 4.)

Figure 4: How Long Could You Afford a Part-Time, Licensed Personal Care Aide If You Had to Pay for It Yourself?

Q. Right now, it costs about $\$ 1,700$ a month to have a licensed personal care aide come into the home parttime to help an older person with a chronic illness, such as heart failure, with daily activities. M edicare and regular private insurance plans do not pay for any of these costs. How many months could you afford this care, if you had to pay for all of it yourself?

Again the survey finds a lack of financial preparedness for long-term care spans across income categories. Slightly more than half ( 54 percent) of those earning household incomes of $\$ 75,000$ or more says they could not afford three months of nursing home care. About one in four ( 27 percent) says they could not afford one month of care. (See Table 3.)

Women are more likely than men to say they could not afford nursing home or in-home care. Latinos and voters ages 40 to 64 are among the most likely to say they could not afford care. Again, there is little difference across party affiliation in ability to pay for long-term care.

Table 3: Inability to Afford Long-Term Care by Demographics

|  | Nursing Home Care |  | Part-Time In-Home Personal Care Aide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Could Not Afford M ore than 3 Months | Could Not <br> Afford <br> 1 Month | Could Not Afford M ore than 3 Months | Could Not <br> Afford <br> 1 Month |
| All voters 40+ | 68\% | 42\% | 57\% | 33\% |
| Men | 64\% | 36\% | 52\% | 28\% |
| Women | 72\% | 47\% | 62\% | 38\% |
| <Age 65 | 74\% | 49\% | 62\% | 37\% |
| 65+ | 55\% | 26\% | 46\% | 24\% |
| Whites, non-Hispanic | 64\% | 37\% | 53\% | 30\% |
| Latinos | 82\% | 63\% | 75\% | 49\% |
| \$30K household income | 89\% | 66\% | 79\% | 60\% |
| \$30-\$49K | 73\% | 51\% | 65\% | 40\% |
| \$50-\$74K | 68\% | 39\% | 54\% | 29\% |
| \$75K+ | 54\% | 27\% | 44\% | 18\% |
| Democrats | 68\% | 48\% | 60\% | 38\% |
| Independents | 65\% | 33\% | 49\% | 26\% |
| Republicans | 71\% | 43\% | 63\% | 35\% |

## Most voters 40 and older do not know whether Medicare covers longterm care services.

Sixty-nine percent of these voters are either unsure (53 percent) or inaccurately believe (16 percent) that M edicare covers long-term nursing home care. Thirty-percent of voters 40 and older are aware that M edicare does not cover these services.

A larger proportion (78 percent) lacks knowledge about whether M edicare covers in-home long-term care. M ore than half ( 56 percent) are unsure, and one in five ( 22 percent) incorrectly says M edicare covers these services. One in five ( 20 percent) is aware that Medicare does not cover in-home care.

Voters 65 and older are most likely to be aware that M edicare does not cover nursing home care, although they are equally unaware of whether it covers in-home care. (See Table 4.)

Voters with the lowest incomes (under $\$ 30,000$ ) are among the least likely to know that M edicare covers neither nursing home nor in-home long-term care.

Awareness levels are similar across party affiliation. Additionally, the survey suggests current and future caregivers are essentially no more knowledgeable about nursing home coverage than those who do not provide care to a loved one with a long-term illness or disability.

Table 4: Awareness of Whether Medicare Covers Long-Term Care by Demographics

|  | Nursing Home Care |  | Part-Time <br> In-Home Personal Care Aide |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Correct ("no") | Incorrect or <br> unsure | Correct ("no") | Incorrect or <br> unsure |
| All voters 40+ | $30 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ |
| <Age 65 | $25 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| 65+ | $43 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Whites, non-Hispanic | $35 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Latinos | $23 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| \$30K household income | $22 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| \$30-\$49K | $32 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| \$50-\$74K | $33 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| \$75K+ | $33 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| Democrats | $31 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Independents | $29 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Republicans | $31 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Current/future caregivers | $32 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Others | $29 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $81 \%$ |

## Most California voters 40 and older do not have long-term care insurance.

Fifteen percent of these voters say they have purchased insurance that covers long-term care expenses. Seventy-two percent have not, and 11 percent say they are not sure. (See Figure 5.)

Figure 5: Have You Purchased Long-Term Care Insurance?

Q. Have you purchased insurance that covers long-term care expenses, through work, through a private policy, or by some other means?

Voters 65 and older are most likely to have long-term care coverage ( 24 percent). Least likely to have coverage are those with the lowest incomes ( 5 percent), and individuals who say they are in fair or poor health (8 percent). (See Table 5.)

Table 5: Long-Term Care Insurance Coverage by Demographics

|  | Has Long-Term Care <br> Insurance | Does not/Not sure |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All voters 40+ | $\mathbf{1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ |
| <Age 65 | $12 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $24 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Whites, non-Hispanic | $16 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Latinos | $9 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\$ 30$ K household income | $5 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| $\$ 30-\$ 49 K$ | $17 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| $\$ 50-\$ 74 K$ | $17 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| $\$ 75 K+$ | $19 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Democrats | $13 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Independents | $16 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| Republicans | $17 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| In excellent/very good/good health | $17 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Just fair/poor health | $8 \%$ | $92 \%$ |

## After hearing about Medi-Cal eligibility requirements, two-thirds of voters express worry about having to spend all of their savings to get help paying for long-term care.

Survey respondents were told that in order to get help from M edi-Cal (California's M edicaid program) for long-term care services, individuals would need to spend their savings down to $\$ 2,000$ and their monthly income would need to be less than $\$ 845$ for an individual or $\$ 1,407$ for a couple. After hearing this, 67 percent of voters 40 and older say they are worried that they would have to spend all of their savings to get help paying for care.

M ajorities of Democrats (72 percent), Republicans (61 percent), and independents (66 percent) are worried after hearing about these requirements. Independent women (72\%) are among the most likely to express worry.

## Voters say affordable home and community-based long-term care options are important, and rate long-term care issues as high priorities for state elected officials.

Nearly all voters ( 95 percent) say they feel it is important to have affordable options available so that older people can get help in their homes and communities instead of going into nursing homes. M ore than seven in ten (72 percent) feel this is very important, including majorities of Democrats (82 percent), Republicans ( 59 percent), and independents (70 percent) saying affordable options are very important.

M ore than half of voters 40 and older say a number of long-term care issues should be high priorities for elected officials in the state, including ensuring there are affordable home and community-based care options ( 66 percent), ensuring families do not have to spend their life savings to qualify for help ( 65 percent), and improving coordination of medical and longterm care (61 percent). (See Figure 6.)

Figure 6: Voters' Priorities for Elected Officials in California:
Percent saying should be high priority

Q. How high of a priority do you think each of the following should be for elected officials in California:

Across demographic groups, women and voters 65 and older tend to be most likely to rate these issues as high priorities for elected officials. For example, 72 percent of women voters 40 and older say ensuring there are affordable home and communitybased options is a high priority, compared to 59 percent of men. (See Table 6.)

Half or more voters across the political and income spectrums say ensuring affordable long-term care options should be a top priority for state elected officials.

Table 6: High Priority for Elected Officials: Affordable Options

Percent high priority

## M aking sure there are affordable home and community-based options

## All voters 40+

 66\%Men 59\%
Women
72\%
<Age 65 60\%
65+ 78\%
Whites, non-Hispanic 65\%
Latinos 75\%
<30K household income 79\%
\$30-\$49K 69\%
\$50-\$74K 65\%
\$75K+ 57\%
Democrats 76\%
Independents 66\%
Republicans 50\%

## Most registered voters 40 and older say they are almost certain to vote in November, and they want to hear gubernatorial candidates talk about issues like long-term care.

The majority of voters 40 and older ( 85 percent) say they are almost certain to vote in the upcoming elections this November. If the election for governor were held "today," 49 percent of these voters say they would vote for the Democratic candidate, 38 percent say they would vote for the Republican candidate, and 8 percent would vote for a third-party candidate.

Regardless of political affiliation or which candidate they are leaning toward electing to the governor's office, most say it is important that candidates talk about issues like long-term care. (See Figure 7.) Nearly all Democrats ( 94 percent) feel this way, as well as 80 percent of Republicans, and 84 percent of independents.

Figure 7: Importance of Candidates Talking about Issues Like Long-Term Care
Percent saying very or somewhat important

Q. How important is it that candidates running for governor talk about issues like long-term care?

## Methodology

Lake Research Partners and American Viewpoint conducted this survey, administered by Knowledge Networks, among a representative probability sample of $N=1,218$ registered voters ages 40 and older in California. The questionnaire was administered in both English and Spanish. The survey was fielded March 5 through 22, 2010. The margin of sampling error for the total survey results is $\pm 2.8$ percentage points. The sampling error is larger for smaller subgroups within the sample.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size for Latinos is $n=197$ with a margin of error of $\pm 5.9$ percentage points. Sample sizes for other races are smaller and therefore not reported here.

